

	COMMENTOR	COMMENT
1	Keith Barlow 3 Fairfield Road Crouch End London UK N8 9HG Objection to the proposal	This application appears to have removed even the absolute minimal affordable housing of the previous application, and includes a 7 story building not in keeping with the conservation area. I am also concerned that there is no protection for the green space in front of the town hall, and am concerned that community access may be removed by giving ownership of the space in front of the town hall to the developer with no guarantees from them.
2	Magdalena Tulaza Flat 4 22 Fairfield Rd London N8 9HG Objection to the proposal	I would like to communicate my concerns in relation to this planning application. Firstly I feel that the very nature of the development will alter the dynamic in the area - from active community use, to exclusive private use, and exclude the members of the community from both the building and the green space adjacent. Secondly the proposed building - 7 storeys in height - will be both overbearing and overshadow the surrounding buildings and area. Which leads me to my final concern - that what is being proposed will be out-of-character with the immediate area, and Crouch End as a whole.
3	Lexi Rose 83 Emerson Apartments Chadwell Lane London N8 7RF Objection to the proposal	It is absolutely unforgivable that not one affordable home will be built in the Town Hall. Hornsey Town Hall is a focal point of the community in Crouch End and should be a hub to support the locals and also the locals who are being forced out of their homes by the sheer greed of the property market. Please please reconsider these plans. Despite claims that the local community have been consulted the majority of people I have met and spoken to vehemently object the plans and see the current Labour-run council as greedy and are putting profit before people. Please prove these people wrong and reconsider the plans.
4	J P Bullock 25 Clifton Road	What happened to the social housing? This is a complete breach of your/ Khan's promise for London, and a retreat from promises made previously in the planning debate here in Crouch End. This sort of decision is simply a function of a

	<p>Crouch End N8 8JA</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>concern for profit per se and very disturbing. It is difficult to see how the Council can be seen to describe itself as a Labour Party majority!</p> <p>Very disturbingly the HEIGHT of the plan now seems to be SEVEN stories????? This is ABSURD. It will destroy the nature of the centre of Crouch End, providing an eyesore for everyone in the valley. It is quite astonishing that such a scheme even be considered alone be allowed!!!! One wonders precisely what sort of social conception counsellors have, who might approve such a speculative lunge. There is NO ONE in my street that approves of this height!! FOUR stories is MORE than sufficient! This is DEEPLY dissappointing, and if pursued will mean that I shall never vote labour again.</p>
5	<p>Carey Heath 66 Avenue Road Crouch End London N6 5DR</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>This is quite unbelievable! The whole way through the process we were assured there would be at least the minimum specified amount of affordable homes included in the planning scheme of Hornsey Town Hall. What ON EARTH is going on with the Council that this is allowed. I entirely object to the proposed scheme as the Town Hall has always had as its principal purpose to serve and support the local community, not simply to line the pockets of wealthy developers and foreign investors. This is a complete travesty of what had been proposed and what had been agreed with local residents and the local community. What I ask is, how can the developers, and the Council, get away with this?</p>
6	<p>David Mill 11 Nightingale Lane Hornsey London N8 7RA</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>1. Cannot see from the daylight data that the executive summary claim of negligible light loss is justifiable. 2. Seven story building this close to existing residences is overbearing. 3. No mention of affordable housing (originally 4 ?0 & certainly not the mayo's 50%target</p>
7	<p>John Wells 17 Palace Road Crouch End</p>	<p>My objections are on two points. The project is deficient in its offering of affordable housing. The planned height is far beyond what is suitable for its position in my lovely Crouch End. It has no affinity with the ambiance of the area. We have waited so long for a suitable plan for our faded jewel.</p>

	Hornsey N8 8QL Objection to the proposal	This is not it.
8	Tania Hummel Flat 5 The Vicarage London N8 9LP Objection to the proposal	I'm disappointed to see the lack of affordable housing - what's going on here? This is not in line with the Mayor's commitment and further exacerbates the housing crisis
9	Sandra Clark 2 Lynton Road, Crouch End N8 8SL Objection to the proposal	I object to this planning application on several grounds: That a 7 storey building in this location will be obtrusive, unsightly, and out of keeping with the character of the area. That there is now NO affordable housing included in the plan, which is totally shameful That the planned hotel in this location is an unsuitable use of this building, and there is no indication that such a facility will succeed or is necessary in the heart of Crouch End.
10	Ms Veronica Flavell 62 Glasslyn Road Crouch End London N8 8RH Objection to the proposal	Firstly, is this consultation in August, so that most local people are on holiday and therefore not in Crouch End and able to comment? The fact that local assets are being sold off to foreign property investment companies, without any affordable housing is totally disgusting and against any policies that local councils should have in place. A definition of "affordable" is probably £200,000.00. These affordable flats should then be owned by the Council and rented out to key workers. The Council would benefit from rental income and also be supporting local people. Also, keeping some financially valuable assets (council owned housing) for the local authority. You can not let private sector make money out of state assets, without a continued stake ther for local people and Haringey borough.

11	<p>William Barlow 3 Fairfield Road Crouch End N8 9HG</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to the height of the proposed development and to the glaring lack of affordable housing</p>
12	<p>Louisa Brittain 22 Elm grove N89AJ</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I am horrified by the lack of affordable housing in these plans - totally contradicts what we were told. The town hall is a public space - bad enough it is being sold to private developers- but totally wrong that there is not a much higher percentage of affordable housing</p> <p>This should be rejected- it is wrong, where are the locals supposed to live? Shame on Haringey if they allow this application</p> <p>Also - the height of the tower block is far too high, out of keeping with the area - ironic it is planned to be so high but not for affordable housing!</p>
13	<p>Deborah Fowler 121 Cranley Gardens N10 3AG</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>There seems to be a lack of affordable housing included in the plans. How does this comply with requirements from the Mayor that 50% of new housing should be affordable?</p> <p>The plans should ensure that at least 50% affordable housing is included in the plans. However, this proper inclusion of affordable housing should not be achieved by making the proposed buildings any higher, as parts of them are already planned to be higher than surrounding buildings, which could be detrimental to the character of the area as well as to people already living there.</p>
14	<p>Brian Bowles 30 Redston Road Crouch End London</p>	<p>I was extremely disappointed that Haringey Council together with FEC have not managed to provide, within the new development, even the minimum 4 affordable flats as promised in the proposal to date. This flies contrary to the needs and wishes of local residents, Catherine West the local Labour MP who has argued for 50% affordable homes, Sadiq Khan, Labour Mayor of London who is a strong proponent of affordable housing and to Labor Party policy.</p>

	N87HJ Objection to the proposal	<p>I was also shocked to see that the development is to include a 7 (!) storey structure - this in the heart of Crouch End. This would be completely out of keeping with the local architecture. The number of homes planned will place an intolerable burden on the locality: parking, public transport, amenities, services (health etc) will all be put under huge stress.</p> <p>I urge the council to reconsider the application and, if necessary, only permit a development which the local community will accept as being beneficial to the community and sympathetic to the local surroundings. Given Haringey Council's track record to date assume that this will be rail-ruled through with little or no consideration of the above objections.</p>
15	<p>Elaine Thompson 76 Middle lane Crouch end London N8</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>The height of the development and the lack of any social housing are not in keeping with the local neighbourhood. No local housing is 7 stories.</p> <p>Social housing should be included in all new builds there's a charity that makes sure affordable housing when sold on remains affordable for future residents purchasers so once one family have benefited the next family gets to benefit we should be looking at doing this.</p>
16	<p>Maria Jaczynska 27 Cascade Avenue Muswell Hill London N10 3PT</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>The fact that local assets are being sold off to foreign property investment companies, without any affordable housing is totally wrong and against any policies that local councils should have in place. Affordable housing should be low enough so the young and low income people could actually buy. These affordable flats should then be owned by the Council and rented out to those in greatest need. The Council would benefit from rental income and also be supporting local people. Also, keeping some financially valuable assets (council owned housing) for the local authority. You can not let private sector make money out of state assets.</p>
17	S Taylor 17	Why is there no affordable housing?

	<p>Stanhope Gardens London N6 5TT</p> <p>Neither supports nor objects</p>	
18	<p>Nick Capeling 4 Church Lane London N8 7BU</p> <p>Neither supports nor objects</p>	<p>Please ensure the application conforms to 50% of properties being affordable homes as we need more of them in our area. Also please ensure none of the structures proposed exceed existing heights and storeys in place as we want to protect existing look and feel of the area.</p> <p>Also please ensure the public access to the square outside is fully retained as it is vital community space in the heart of the local area, and that the building also retains public/community areas within it.</p>
19	<p>Les Garner 25 Gladwell Road Crouch End London N8 9AA</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>Comments: There are a range of reasons why I object to this proposed development but as with many others I would ask the planning committee to dismiss it for two reasons</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is now NO affordable housing - at odds with what the community had been promised and the target set by the Mayor of London 2. A seven story building is utterly out of odds with the locality and again was not originally mentioned.
20	<p>V Hawkins 3 Fife Road London N22 5EG</p>	<p>I am shocked and disappointed by the total lack of provision of affordable homes in this scheme. This is contrary to the original plans (although even a minimum of 4 affordable flats is still laughable given the size of development), to the needs of the borough, to guidance from the Mayor of London and to Labour policy.</p> <p>In addition, a 7 storey building is completely out of keeping with the surrounding area. Parking and other</p>

	Objection to the proposal	<p>amenities (bus routes for example) are already under great pressure in Crouch End and this development will exacerbate the problem.</p> <p>I fail to understand why the council has not already put pressure on the developer over these matters, most importantly on the issue of affordable homes. Having not done so thus far, I think it is vital that the entire development is re-examined.</p>
21	<p>Aisling Traynor 135B Crouch Hill London N8 9QH</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I am writing to note my objections to the lack of affordable housing being part of this development. There is a housing crisis in London and developing sites such as this without affordable housing only contributes to the problem. The lack of affordable housing will also have a negative affect on the local community which benefits from the diversity of its people.</p>
22	<p>Joanna Bornat</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>The planning application for the development of Hornsey Town Hall is so out of keeping with the ideas, policies and needs relating to anyone who lives in Haringey and indeed those beyond that I feel ashamed to even be engaging with them in response.</p> <p>If fails on so many grounds, just a few:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * the target of social housing which fails the mayor's target of '50% affordable housing' and also fails Haringey's own commitment to solving its own housing crisis; * uncertain and unconfirmed continues access to a building and its green space, currently publicly owned * new building height which is completely out of keeping with the area and which threatens to dwarf and therefore diminish the existing listed building <p>Personally I'm not interested in issues around parking, Crouch End is over-run by cars and any development should aim to reduce private car usage in the area. My concerns mainly relate to the handing over of a public asset, a listed building of character and of its time, to private developers whose aims appear to be completely out of harmony with the communities of Crouch End.</p>
23	<p>Chloe Milburn 26 Cecile Park</p>	<p>I am writing to object to the above development in its present form for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is on too large a scale and overbearing for its surroundings. The plan for 7 storeys of apartments

	<p>Crouch End London N8 9AS</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>exceeds all other buildings in the area; most are 3 storeys; none is more than 5 storeys, if basements are included. The height will detract from the aesthetic of the fine town hall tower, which surely, as a listed building, should be preserved.</p> <p>2. The height of the apartment blocks means they will overlook neighbouring properties, leading to loss of privacy and loss of sunlight.</p> <p>3. Adding approximately 130 new apartments, plus 4 houses, plus the 67 hotel apartments in this relatively small site will increase the density of population unacceptably, and strain the existing infrastructure. The number of new dwellings would add about 400-500 new residents to the area. We already have to wait an average of 2 weeks for a GP or dental appointment. The W7 service to Finsbury Park tube could not cope with this in the rush hour- it is already under strain.</p> <p>4. How will you provide enough school places for all these families?</p> <p>5. I am alarmed to see that ULL based part of their viability assessment (rent obtainable from proposed Art Centre) by comparing to rents obtained by NIGHTCLUBS such as Koko in Camden. This has never been mentioned by FEC in any of the exhibitions or consultations, and Crouch End's understanding is that the Arts Centre would become a theatre or musical performance centre. Crouch End is overwhelmingly a family/ residential area and the last thing we need here is a nightclub.</p> <p>6. This is a conservation area; whilst being grateful for the restoration of the town hall, and preservation of the green, the excessive size of the "enabling development" will surely neither enhance nor preserve the character of the area. It must surely be possible for FEC to make a decent profit with a more modest development, more sensitive to the area.</p>
24	<p>Mrs H Edwards 11 Bourne Road London N89HJ</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to the proposals on the grounds that there is no affordable housing included and the building is far too high and will block out our light</p>

25	<p>Craig Lowe Flat 3 13 Haringey Park Crouch end London N8 9HY</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>It has been brought to my attention that there will be zero affordable home to this development. I strongly disagree with this.</p> <p>Also I'm concerned about the work being noisy living next door this a major concern</p>
26	<p>William Embliss 78 Devonshire Hill Lane Haringey London N17 7NG</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>My first objectivon is that there is a severe lack of affordable housing in this development. My second objeccion is that the proposal has no detailed plans of how the restoration of the listed Town Hall will be carried out.</p> <p>So it seems to me that the developer is being given a blank cheque to make large profits without enough strings attached to benefit the local community in Haringey.</p> <p>Although I live in Haringey and use the Arts Centre I have not been consulted about this development.</p>
27	<p>Mrs H Edwards 11 Bourne Road London N89HJ</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to the proposals on the grounds that there is no affordable housing included and the building is far too high and will block out our light.</p>
28	Ruth Young	No affordable housing is a disgrace. Who is benefitting from this application?

	<p>1 Lancaster Road London N4 4PJ</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	
29	<p>Madeline Drake 13 Quernmore Road London N4 4QT</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to this application for the following reasons:</p> <p>1 Given the low price paid for the site, and given the high number of homeless people in the borough and the high price of housing Section 106 should have been applied provide a good proportion of social housing units either on site or, if the owner consider this to be detrimental to the price of the private units on site, they could be asked to fund social housing units on another site elsewhere in the borough. The priority is to provide social housing.</p> <p>2 If this is supposed to be a boutique hotel I think there are too many bedrooms.</p> <p>3 I would be concerned if the height of the building were raised by the addition of any further storeys above the height of the surrounding buildings</p> <p>4 I hope that the square outside the building will remain open to locals as well as the community spaces within the building.</p>
30	<p>Tim Langford Carol Scott 9 Clifton Road Crouch End N8 8HY</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>Strongly object to the proposals on many grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - this is being railroaded through by a council that lacks the business competence to form a partnership with a corporate of this nature. - the cheap sale of the property is indicative of the lack of competence and indeed their questionable attempts to get value for money from this sale (or giveaway as seems to be the case). - this development should reflect the urgent need for social and affordable homes that are so lacking here. <p>Given this is a labour council - this is a total betrayal of the parties claimed policies and purported ideals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - plans have been changing and changed so it is never clear what the actual final build will look like.

		<p>- the ever increasing height of the planned design means it will dominate the skyline and be out of all proportion in the centre of the area.</p> <p>- has anyone given any thought to the noise and disruption this will bring to the area? In Crouch End we are constantly besieged with domestic building works and the damage this does to the 'quality of life' here.</p> <p>Ever since we moved here we have witnessed one development after the other - no thought given to the noise and disturbance six days a week.</p>
31	<p>Christopher Rogers 42a Grasmere Road London N10 2DJ</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>More consultation needed, as there should be a percentage of affordable homes.</p>
32	<p>Lydia Hirst 35 Clifton Road London N8 8JA</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>What happened to the social housing promise?</p> <p>This is a complete breach of yours and Mayor Kahn's promise for London to ensure that more affordable housing is built. It is also a step back from earlier promises made in the planning debate here in Crouch End. It is apparent that this sort of decision is concerned only with profit and is very disturbing. How is it possible for a Council which is majority Labour to propose this kind of development which will ruin the look and feel of central Crouch End and does not even include social or affordable housing?</p> <p>In addition, the HEIGHT of the plan now seems to be SEVEN stories? This is ABSURD. It will destroy the nature of the centre of Crouch End and be a dreadful eye sore. It is quite astonishing that such a scheme even be considered let alone be allowed!!!! There is NO ONE in my street that approves of this height!! FOUR stories is MORE than sufficient! This is DEEPLY concerning and encourages me to continue voting Lib Dem and to decry our labour council</p>
33	<p>Wendy Shooter</p>	<p>I support the following</p>

	<p>42 Ringslade Road London N22 7TE</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>A good number of the 146 units to be affordable or social homes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The impact of the development on this conservation area to be better represented in the application - with improved, and a greater number of visual renderings (not hidden behind trees), particularly in respect to the seven story building - Detailed assessment of the impact of light and privacy on nearby properties, and a full assessment and plan for local infrastructure such as schools, GP surgeries, and public transport with the introduction of so many new residents to the area - The operator for the Arts Centre to be agreed and their plans submitted alongside the application - A true reflection of the figures based on comparable residential properties and commercial operations in Crouch End, not those from other areas that are, on average, cheaper.
34	<p>Janet Cowherd 21 Cromwell Avenue Highgate London N6 5HN</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>Comments: I thoroughly agree with all the comments made by the Liberal Democrat Party.....the amount of 3.5 m is far too low to pay for such a lucrative site, looks like some one of the side of the developer!!</p> <p>Very Bad !!</p> <p>I would have thought it would have been better to keep the Town Hall building and have something for the community, or if it is going to happen for homes to be built at least half should be affordable or social housing under this current climate, I thought this was a Labour controlled borough...what are Jeremy Corbins thoughts on this?</p>
35	<p>Rich Carter 109 Priory Road Hornsey N8 8LY</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>Looks like there is far too much profit for the developers and I believe the following should be addressed as a minimum before this planning application is even considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A good number of the 146 units to be affordable or social homes - The impact of the development on this conservation area to be better represented in the application - with improved, and a greater number of visual renderings (not hidden behind trees), particularly in respect to the seven story building - Detailed assessment of the impact of light and privacy on nearby properties, and a full assessment and plan for local infrastructure such as schools, GP surgeries, and public transport with the introduction of so many new residents to the area - The operator for the Arts Centre to be agreed and their plans submitted alongside the application - A true reflection of the figures based on comparable residential properties and commercial operations in Crouch End, not those from other areas that are, on average, cheaper.

36	<p>Valerie Clayton 29 Mayfield road London N8 9LL</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I absolutely object to this planning application for reasons as set out by the libdem party.</p>
37	<p>Kearney 113 Coppetts Road Muswell Hill London N10 1JH</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I find it disgraceful that a Labour council can allow the development of such a size to not include any social housing. Once again, it is a dereliction of care for the very people that voted you in.</p>
38	<p>Carl Hill 2 Exchange House 71 Crouch End Hill London N88DF</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>There has been no study in to the impact on large numbers being added to the centre of Crouch End on schools, Drs' surgeries and the like. The proposed height is ridiculous given it is a conservation area and looks like it will lead to a loss of light to neighbours. The lack of social housing given there was some in the original proposal (albeit laughable) amounts to major change in the submission.</p> <p>The refurbishment of the Town Hall is vague as is the room for local businesses. The loss of large areas of the green in every proposal for it amounts to theft of a very valuable local asset and would be detrimental to the character of central Crouch End (see the conservation area point), likewise the proposals for it are totally out of keeping for the area, no consideration for the look of the area has been taken in to account at all. Overall there are so many breaches and negative points to this plan as it stands that it should be blocked in it's current form (not to mention it's an awful deal where the developer seems to have carte blanche).</p>
39	<p>Emma Stamp 88</p>	<p>I am outraged that the new plans include no affordable homes - this falls far below Mayor Khan's 50% genuinely affordable homes target and will do nothing to tackle Haringey's housing crisis.</p>

	<p>Crouch Hill London N8 9ED</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I am also concerned about the height of block A, which at 7 stories will impact light and views for surrounding residents. It is also not in keeping with the Crouch End conservation area.</p>
40	<p>Meg Goodman 74 Weston Park London N8 9TB</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to the application mainly on the grounds that no provision has been made for social housing. A development such as this exacerbates the 'monocultural' nature of Crouch End and entrenches the impression that the west side of the Borough has no interest in the housing crisis in Haringey. It does nothing to further the Council's own plans for increased social housing and mixed communities. At least a third of the development should be available at truly affordable rents or for low purchase price.</p> <p>The height of the central residential block is of concern. The artist's impressions/drawings that were on display during the consultation are taken from perspectives that minimise the impact. The block will dominate the development from some angles. It should be a maximum of five storeys.</p> <p>Parking in Weston park is already over-full. The minimal parking provision in the new development means that residents will park in neighboring streets, it is naive to think that lack of dedicated spaces in the development will limit car ownership. No street parking permits should be issued to residents of the new flats.</p> <p>What guarantees are there that the green space and its trees in front of the Town hall will remain available for general public use at all times? The existing space must never be reduced or built on or otherwise restricted.</p>
41	<p>Barbara Goldstein 16 Fordington Road London N6 4TJ</p>	<p>I object to the application because an acceptable application should include more affordable or social homes. Also there should, before acceptance, be a detailed assessment of the impact of light and privacy on nearby properties.; also a full assessment of and plan for local infrastructure. the figures should also reflect properties and operations in Crouch End , not other areas</p>

	Objection to the proposal	
42	Mary Rawitzer 8 Southwood Lawn Road N6 5SF Objection to the proposal	I object to this application on the basis that the developers are forecasting a substantial profit for themselves in which Haringey Council will not participate. Furthermore they are providing no social – or even "affordable" (government definition) - housing. The proposal's transport problem amelioration plan does not take properly into account the impact of the transport and parking problems that will inevitably result, instead relying on Haringey Council to deal with TfL to do that. The whole proposal is a misuse of the wonderful building that could be adapted for a less profitable income, but one that would be maintained within the community.
43	Sam Goodison 28 Crescent Rise London N22 7AW Objection to the proposal	No to development that doesn't include affordable housing, community arts space (current use) or public green space. We do not need more luxury apartment developments.
44	Elizabeth Cross 83 Princes Avenue Wood Green N22 7SB Objection to the proposal	I strongly object to this application as there is no social housing and the green in front of the town hall is not mentioned at all. Haringey has an obligation to provide social housing and to protect the green spaces we have in our traffic congested areas.
45	Geoff Gedroyc Flat 2, 155 Ferme Park	I object in the strongest terms imaginable to Haringey's disgraceful asset stripping of Hornsey Town Hall. This is a public amenity of great splendour and historical importance due to its art deco features, which enriches my and my fellow Crouch Enders lives. It should be maintained and kept up,

	Road London N8 9BP Objection to the proposal	with parts open to the public. It should serve as a beacon of community and togetherness. It is a public space and making it private would damage the area immeasurably. It is a particular tragedy (though not the main one) that so little money will actually be made for Haringey Council from such an egregious sell of beautiful public land. Worse still, the decision to build 0 affordable homes is gut wrenchingly unfair to normal people. How on earth can Haringey Council think that doing this will cause anything other than riots and protests in the streets? I urge you all to appeal to your consciences and cease these plans immediately.
46	Hannah Cross 1 Derwent Court Cecile Park London N8 9AT Objection to the proposal	I believe the following should be addressed as a minimum before this planning application is even considered: A good number of the 146 units to be affordable or social homes The impact of the development on this conservation area to be better represented in the application – with improved, and a greater number of visual renderings (not hidden behind trees), particularly in respect to the seven story building Detailed assessment of the impact of light and privacy on nearby properties, and a full assessment and plan for local infrastructure such as schools, GP surgeries, and public transport with the introduction of so many new residents to the area The operator for the Arts Centre to be agreed and their plans submitted alongside the application A true reflection of the figures based on comparable residential properties and commercial operations in Crouch End, not those from other areas that are, on average, cheaper.
47	Jill Webb 41 Waldeck Road London N15 3EL Objection to the proposal	I strongly object to this sell off of yet another one of Haringey's community assets . We definitely need more housing but it HAS to be affordable doesn't it? Haringey Council pushed this proposal through very fast without sufficient public awareness and they have sold it for a pittance! There are many other ways to solve the housing issues facing most boroughs today and we DON'T need to bring in foreign developers only to increase their profits. I support any group that could bring a stop to this going ahead.
48	Shazad	Due to the simple fact that affordable housing has not been provided. Please build accommodation for

	<p>Rehman 16 Woodfield Way London N11 2PH</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>the community and not just for profit. Help give the local community a leg up.</p>
49	<p>Helen Rowe 13 Haslemere Road Crouch End London England N8 9QP</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I have a number of objections to the proposed plans, the principal one being that adding tower blocks to the area behind the town hall - to the height of the current town hall steeple - will egregiously effect the unique character and appeal of crouch end. While the town hall may need some necessary remedial work to make it safe I do not feel that a full restoration is necessary and certainly not at the cost of erecting tower blocks and spoiling the character of the area. Like many people in the area i like the way the town hall is currently being used, as a full community centre. Its slightly run down feel is fine and in keeping with its surroundings. Not everyone support shiny new restorations. I am also appalled to learn that the tower block development will not include any affordable housing and simply provide additional commercial housing, placing even more pressure on local schools and services as well as adding congestion and traffic to the area. If this tower block development has to go ahead, then please consider reducing the height of these developments to decrease this pressure and mitigate the light restrictions to the surrounding streets.</p>
50	<p>Jacky Wedgwood 1a Coolhurst Road London N8 8EP</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>Comments: I object to our Town Hall being used for a hotel when local residents desperately need affordable homes and places to work. The developers have broken their promise to supply 4 affordable homes which still falls short of what Sadiq Khan's 50% genuinely affordable homes target. The height of 'Block A' at 7 storeys is quite out of proportion to the other properties in Crouch End and will block the light and views of nearby residents. Our Town Hall is for the use of the community, not for a rich owner based in the Cayman Islands to enrich himself further. There should be provision for community and co-working space. Surely a hotel will just increase the amount of traffic and pollution, especially from diesel taxis. Crouch End doesn't need a hotel, but it does need affordable homes and workplaces. We don't need any more cafes either, although it would be nice to see the town hall square be made more attractive with tables and chairs outside in summer. Please keep the green grass and trees and don't concrete it over.</p>

51	<p>Patricia Rubin Flat 6, Greville Lodge 40 London N6 5DP</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I strongly object to this application, which is offers huge profit to the developer for a prime site and damage to the community in terms of infrastructure and amenities. It is craven.</p>
52	<p>Brenda Squires 7 Elm Grove N8 9AH</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>The application offers no affordable housing which is lamentable given the shortage in London. The seven-storey structure would block light and be out of keeping with the area. Any application should bear in mind the historic and community connections of this important and central site. The green area in front of the town hall is a much-used community facility and should remain so.</p>
53	<p>Alex Lam 47A Linzee Road London</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>It is not acceptable that no affordable housing is offered by this development. I would not like to see the residential units become investment while local people could not afford to buy them. I cannot support the application unless the developer revises up the affordable housing commitment.</p>
54	<p>Michael Procommenos 35 Tivoli road London</p> <p>N8 8RE</p>	<p>I object to this planning application due to no inclusion of affordable apartments. Due to a high, seven-storey residential tower block that will dwarf the area and block light to surroundings and buildings. No plan for impact on local amenities such as schools, GP clinics and public transport and no operator or plan for the Arts Centre submitted with the application.</p>

	Objection to the proposal	
55	<p>Laura Stratford Flat A 6 Tregaron Avenue London N8 9EY</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I STRONGLY OBJECT to this planning application. Why ruin the historic Hornsey Town Hall (which hasn't even had that chance to thrive as an arts centre - something that'd be far more beneficial to Crouch End and it's residents), all just to replace it with housing, which won't even be 'affordable'. So much for tackling the housing crisis. Also, at the proposed SEVEN storeys, the height and scale of the structure is going to be horrendous, and definitely not in keeping with the Crouch End conservation area, moreover, the aesthetic! Perhaps the Arts Centre hasn't brought in enough immediate revenue, but replacing it with housing - which won't even be affordable - is a lazy and unfair solution. The Arts Centre has not received the financial and marketing support that it is owed. As it stands, the plans outlined in this planning application are really disappointing, so I do hope my view - which is shared by a huge number of other Crouch End residents - will be considered when moving forward with this.</p>
56	<p>Annie Tunncliffe Flat 3 20 Haringey Park London N8 9HY</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I am writing to object to the planned development at Hornsey Town Hall site in Crouch End - if this is not the correct email to send it to, please inform me.</p> <p>My major objection is that of 146 homes zero are affordable (whatever affordable means in this age). This is appallaing and completely out of step with sadiq Khan's housing plans for London. I am sending a copy to the Mayor's office. Haringey must not allow this if it wishes for credibility with its residents. I live on Haringey Park and I see no plans for underground car parking on this development - where are the cars for 146 homes meant to be going? There is massive congestion of vehicles already in this area. The Town Hall has been a boon to the community in terms of participation, arts, culture, entertainment, workspace and fun - it will be a massive loss and a boutique hotel is the last thing Crouch End needs. We want to remain a diverse community. The green in front of the Town Hall is avaiable and used by everyone, for free. That is just great and will be a huge loss. Block A at 7 storeys high, is out of keeping with the area, too high and will impact local residents. This is ill-concieved and not what Crouch End needs.</p>
57	<p>A Alben 5 Crouch Hall Road London N8 8HT</p>	<p>It appears that Hornsey Council has failed in its legal obligation to correctly inform local citizens of the plans for the Hornsey Town Hall site in a timely and transparent way. Furthermore, it has misled its citizens on at least one point, namely the inclusion of four out of 146 affordable homes. On those grounds I strongly object to the current plans of redevelopment of the Hornsey Town Hall site.</p> <p>Further to this I would like to urge the council to reassess its obligation and role in this process. Haringey Council members are in function as representatives of its citizens and thereby ethically and legally</p>

	Objection to the proposal	<p>obliged to present a plan comprising of an architectural and social programme that will benefit the local citizens in the short and longer term. Think here for example of the new balance between population growth and services such as schools, doctors, public space, social equality, social diversity and the general economical health of the area.</p> <p>The site makes an ideal pilot-project for redevelopment that is based on innovation and democratic forward thinking. I hope Haringey Council members not squander that opportunity but cease it.</p>
58	<p>Philip Hancock 27 Coolhurst Road N8 8ET</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>It appears that Hornsey Council has failed in its legal obligation to correctly inform local citizens of the plans for the Hornsey Town Hall site in a timely and transparent way. Furthermore, it has misled its citizens on at least one point, namely the inclusion of four out of 146 affordable homes. On those grounds I strongly object to the current plans of redevelopment of the Hornsey Town Hall site.</p> <p>Further to this I would like to urge the council to reassess its obligation and role in this process. Haringey Council members are in function as representatives of its citizens and thereby ethically and legally obliged to present a plan comprising of an architectural and social programme that will benefit the local citizens in the short and longer term. Think here for example of the new balance between population growth and services such as schools, doctors, public space, social equality, social diversity and the general economical health of the area.</p> <p>The site makes an ideal pilot-project for redevelopment that is based on innovation and democratic forward thinking. I hope Haringey Council members not squander that opportunity but cease it.</p>
59	<p>Ick Evans 8 View Crescent London N8 8RW</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to this proposal for the following reasons:</p> <p>The density of the housing proposed is excessive, incorporating as it does a 7 storey building which is out of keeping with the architecture of the surrounding area, and will detract from the listed buildings concerned.</p> <p>The large number of additional residents which the development will bring will place an intolerable burden upon the already strained public transport infrastructure.</p> <p>The absence of any social housing flies in the face of the policies of both the Mayor of London and LB Haringey. The lack of guarantees for the preservation of the publically accessible green space at the front of the Town Hall is of great concern.</p>

		Whilst the opportunity to salvage the buildings for the future is too be welcomed this cannot be at any price.
60	Kerry Smith 60 Effingham Road Haringay London N8 0AB Objection to the proposal	Haringey's Council approval of a planning application on a building that was once owned by the Council to have no affordable social or council owned housing is an extraordinary failure of local public service and democracy. It is vital that this decision is reconsidered and the purpose of the council body revisited. In my mind that purpose is to serve the population of Haringay and this includes ensuring that the most vulnerable in our community have the opportunity to live in safe and secure housing. By approving this application the council has failed in it is duty and purpose
61	Deborah Crewe 27 Birchington Road London N8 8HP Objection to the proposal	I object to the fact that this development includes no affordable homes, far below the Mayor's 50% genuinely affordable homes target. It is essential that community access to the building and green is retained and that there are provisions for community and co-working space.
62	Liana Mellotte 30B Weston Park London N8 9TJ Objection to the proposal	Zero affordable homes have been included in the application. Under the original plans, four out of the 146 homes were committed to be affordable. This falls far below target and will do nothing to tackle Haringey's house crisis. I have concerns about the height of Block A which at seven storeys will impact light and views for surrounding residents. The height and scale of such a structure is not in keeping with the Crouch End conservation area.
63	Jurate Stu	London is becoming unaffordable place with disappearing art and music venues, full of ugly

	78 Tottenham Lane Hornsey London Objection to the proposal	soulless luxury holes. Please, please don't do it to the stunning town hall of Crouch End.
64	Jude Muxworthy 85 Northview Road London N8 7LN Objection to the proposal	<p>*Large proportion of housing should be social housing/affordable. Sainsbury site in Hornsey had 40%.</p> <p>*The building is too tall. 5 stories max. 7 stories is inappropriate for the area. Proper attention to the impact in the area.</p> <p>* Guarantee access to the green space in front of the town hall which was for the people of Haringey.</p> <p>* We need a detailed assessment of the impact of light and privacy on nearby properties.</p> <p>*Full assessment and plan for local infrastructure.</p> <p>*The operator for the Arts Centre to be agreed and plans submitted alongside the application.</p> <p>*A true reflection of the figures based on comparable residential properties and commercial operations in Crouch End, not based on areas that are on average cheaper.</p>
65	Alan Muxworthy 85 Northview Road N8 7LN Objection to the proposal	<p>This is a gross manipulation of public property. The nice green area for lunchtime picnics will disappear and the thought of a 7 story building is inappropriate to the surrounding area.</p> <p>It seems unthinkable that a Labour LA would sanction the profitmaking that has gone on. Bought for 3 million, sold on for 22. Supporting this sort of enterprise is obscene and unbusinesslike.</p> <p>Where are the affordable units? There needs to be a reasonable proportion - or is this social seeding for the rich? Think again on these plans.</p>
66	Nicola Englezou Flat 10, 55 Shepherds Hill London	<p>I object to the redevelopment of former Hornsey Town Hall site and adjacent buildings (application ref: HGY/2017/2222) on the following basis:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no social housing included within the scheme; 2. The effects of additional traffic and parking in the local area have not been properly considered;

	N6 5QP Objection to the proposal	3. There will be a loss of arts amenity space and small offices/workshops for start-ups and local residents. 4. The current offering in Hornsey Town Hall Arts Centre will be lost and not replaced.
67	Brian King 6 Hatherley Gardens Crouch End London N8 9JH Objection to the proposal	Whilst I support the refurbishment of the Town Hall (I live next to it and my garden is overlooked by it) the current plans are simply unworkable. How can there be no allocation affordable housing ? Additionally no thoughts has been put into how the surrounding area and facilities will cope with 146 additional housing units and associated congestion. Simply stating that there will be limited parking bays is naive in the extreme (what about plans on public transportation links, has any studies been conducted on anticipated foot fall etc?) Until there is an integrated plan to address this (as well as the affordable housing) then this planning application is fundamentally flawed.
68	Christopher Hellyar 51B Elder Avenue Objection to the proposal	I understand there are to be no affordable homes in this site and that the height of the blocks will impact surrounding properties. I also have concerns regarding the amount of green, public space that will remain.
69	Charlotta Mason 144 Inderwick Road London N8 9JT Objection to	I wish to object to this planning application. Though I am happy that the town hall will be refurbished I am absolutely appalled that there will not be any social housing included.

	the proposal	
70	<p>Jodi Myers 125 Hornsey Lane London N6 5NH</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I wish to object to the proposal on multiple grounds:</p> <p>The height, and what is known of the proposed design, of the blocks detract from, instead of enhance, the Grade II listed buildings. Furthermore they are out of keeping with a largely low rise residential area. The absence of affordable housing in the proposed scheme is inappropriate and unacceptable. The impact of the proposed development on existing transport services, which are already stretched, has not been properly addressed. The surrounding area cannot sustain additional demands on parking space. There is inadequate consideration given to the impact of the proposed development on demand for existing local services, such as schools and GP practices.</p> <p>There is inadequate safeguarding of the Town Hall Square for the benefit of all Crouch End residents, and for continuing use local events such as the Festival.</p> <p>Plans for the arts centre remain vague. Further details about the viability of this must be submitted and assessed by people with appropriate understanding of complex arts centre operations. As of mid September, it appears that FEC have designed auditoria and public spaces without the benefit of consultation with an arts centre operator.</p>
71	<p>Ms Hannah Lanyon 10 Sandringham Gardens London N8 9HU</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to this application on numerous grounds and I think it is appalling that the proposed development has been able to get to this stage without consideration of the following objections. The development is far too big for the area: its height exceeds the surrounding buildings hugely which in a conservation area is completely unacceptable. Most surrounding buildings are 2-3 storeys compared to the 7 storeys of the proposed development.</p> <p>I am also angry about the lack of social housing proposed. Crouch End is falling victim to the extreme gentrification and social division sweeping across London. We do not need luxury apartments or hotels: we need social housing. If this development goes ahead with the proposed lack of social and affordable housing, it will completely change the character of the area it is in which has 3 blocks of social housing in.</p> <p>I am also objecting on the grounds that we will be losing a community arts centre, and like is not being replaced with like as the operator will be commercial and business oriented. This will change the character of crouch end completely and as a young person who has grown up here I am outraged.</p>

72	Matthew Moore 55 Crouch Hall Road Crouch End London N88HH	I believe this proposal is at odds with the draw of Crouch End to the majority of current residents, and a development proposed to maximise capacity and thus profit will be detrimental to the current residents. Too big, too high, too many beds, too out of character, too unpopular.
73.	Elaine Chalmers Flat 41 Exchange House 71 Crouch End Hill Crouch End London N8 8DF Objection to the proposal	I am writing to object to the above planning applications concerning Hornsey Town Hall and surrounding land. My objection centers on a number of areas: 1) Proposal to build residential blocks containing 146 residential units in close proximity to listed buildings and existing dwellings 2) Impact on conservation area 3) Timing of planning application 4) Public consultation 5) Over reliance on 2010 consented scheme 6) Community/art use (listed building consent) 7) Late intervention by Crouch End councilors 8) Number of revisions to supporting documentation for the bid 9) Impact on transport
74.	Matthew Amos 28 Wolseley Road London N8 8RP Objection to the proposal	The lack of provision for affordable housing is deeply worrying, and the plan should set aside at least 35% of the units for genuinely affordable homes in accordance with the "Affordable Housing and Viability Supplementary Planning Guidance" document published by the London Mayor's office. Additionally, the height of Blocks A & B would make them imposing structures not in keeping with the character of the area. Crouch End is a predominantly low-rise area, and to maintain this character, any new blocks should also be low-rise; ideally less than 4 stories tall. The facades of the new buildings should also be visually in-keeping with the surrounding residential buildings. The plan allows for 45 parking spaces assigned to residents on a regular basis (not booked for visitor use), but TfL studies suggest that this is only 57% of the amount needed for a development of this size. The additional cars would, one must assume, be parked on the surrounding streets - where parking is already very tight.

75.	<p>Paul, Anushya, Shyamala and Sarisha Toyne Madliene Smith Farinaz Fazli Weston Park London N89SY</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>This letter sets out our objections to the redevelopment of Hornsey Town Hall. The first part of the letter sets out concerns we have surrounding inconsistencies with Haringey's Strategic Plan. The conclusion we draw is that the development proposal in its current state will produce a legacy that will be considered a wasted opportunity; it needs proper independent scrutiny to ensure that this does not happen.</p> <p>This letter then concludes with some more detailed observations relating misleading and inaccurate information specific to material aspects of the application, namely daylight and privacy. We ask that the Council commission an independent daylight and sunlight assessment to include the 25 degree rule. Furthermore, such an assessment must include a site survey, where real data can be collected and the privacy issue can be investigated.</p>
76.	<p>Ray Rogers 40 Elm Grove London N8 9AH</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to the current application on the following grounds:</p> <p>The height and scale of the proposed development and its impact on the setting of the listed buildings and on the conservation area.</p> <p>The high density of the development in the context of the prevailing density of development in Crouch End.</p> <p>The absence of any social housing content.</p> <p>The impact of traffic, parking and vehicle movements including servicing, on the local road network</p> <p>No provision for the enhancement of local social infrastructure e.g. schools and medical facilities for the additional population.</p> <p>The loss of the existing creative community working within the Town Hall.</p> <p>I have the following particular concerns regarding the impact of the proposed development on the listed</p>

		<p>buildings e.g. the Town Hall, the Library and on the conservation area. The NPPF requires local authorities to take account of the contribution made by the setting of historic buildings in determining applications. In this case, the setting of the Town Hall and Library is in essence the very character of Crouch End as described in the Crouch End conservation area appraisal and elsewhere.</p> <p>Whilst there is scope for new development within the overall site this must be respond sensitively to the height of the existing buildings and the scale of the spaces between them. In my view, the height and scale of the proposed buildings would substantially harm the setting of both the Town Hall and the Library, and this together with the design of the proposed buildings.</p>
77.	<p>William & Rosamond Bulman 4 Berkeley Road Crouch End London N8 8RY</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>We object to the planning application on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The lack of social housing. 2. The paucity of new resident and visitor parking spaces. 3. The fact that it will put extra stress on the existing infrastructure - e.g. transport, schools and G.P. services. 4. The proposed seven storey height of the mews development is too high to fit in with the local architecture.
78.	<p>Sue Glasser 31 Coleridge Road Crouch End London N8 8EH</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to this development on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Haringey Council's lack of due process, lack of transparency and misleading information - selling this site off for a desultory sum to an overseas developer who has not stuck to original commitments - lack of social housing as a significant focus, as required legally - the development is out of proportion, too large in scale re surrounding architecture, feel and function of Crouch End for locals - insufficient planning for development to cater to growth of needs in transport, schools, health services, etc. - no clarity and commitment to retain the community activities that are the hub of the building's use - loss of open, communal space which is enjoyed by many.

79.	<p>Caroline Armstrong- James 2 Berkeley Road London</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to this planning application for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no affordable housing. 2. The size and height of the proposed development will overwhelm and destroy the character of the Town Hall and surrounding area. 3. The size of the development will also have a detrimental impact on local services such as schools and health services. 4. The proposed number of parking spaces is inadequate and will cause mayhem in the surrounding streets.
80.	<p>Annie Tunncliffe Flat 3 20 Haringey Park London N8 9HY</p>	<p>I believe the red maple tree in the green public area in front of the town hall is to be taken down by the Council prior to the development. This tree was planted in 1998 to commemorate the 50th anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights by Amnesty International. This 20-year-old beautiful and commemorative tree MUST be preserved.</p>
81.	<p>Eileen MacLean 46 Ravensdale Mansions Haringey Park London</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object in the strongest terms to the red maple commemorating the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, planted by Amnesty International in 1998 being felled to make way for your unwanted new development.</p>
82.	<p>Chris Bird 19 Fortis Green Road Muswell Hill London N10 3HP</p>	<p>This application appears to have removed even the absolute minimal affordable housing of the previous application, and includes a 7 story building not in keeping with the conservation area. I am also concerned that there is no protection for the green space in front of the town hall, and I am concerned that community access may be remove by giving ownership of the space in front the town hall to the developer with no guarantees from them.</p>

	Objection to the proposal	
83.	Jacqueline Osley 6 Elmfield Avenue London N8 8QG Objection to the proposal	I object to the changes proposed in the outside space in front of the Town Hall as they will provide risks to families enjoying the outside space. Without the fencing children will be able to run unimpeded into Hatherley Gardens and into traffic driving towards the proposed new roundabout. There will be no continuous flow for pedestrians from the fountain to the green if a road is built and this will make the space far less attractive for community use. Equally the new design would be disadvantageous to the Crouch End Festival craft fairs, film screenings and music events which are currently an important part of Crouch End life. Surely these should be allowed to continue in their current form as there is no need for them not to?
84.	Amy Bridgman 63a Crouch Hall Road London N8 8HD Objection to the proposal	This application is being hurried through by a council that appears to the business competence to form an equal partnership with a corporation of this nature. The cheap sale of the property is indicative of a lack of competence and indeed their questionable attempts to get value for money from this sale (or giveaway as seems to be the case). I object to the planning application on the following grounds: 1. Confused and contradictory planning documents 2. Height in relation to the neighbourhood; Urban Context 3. Massing, Footprint and Daylight 4. Lack of social housing 5. Transport, Parking and Vehicle Movement 6. Deficiencies in Social Facilities and Infrastructure
85.	Daniel Rollison 89 Birkbeck Road London Objection to the proposal	The revised proposal for the Town Hall redevelopment fails in a number of respects: 1. The lack of affordable homes contravenes the borough's stated minimum requirement for developments. 2. The revised height will be out of character with the rest of the local area, as well as diminish the light and views from nearby properties. 3. The redevelopment of the Green proposes to knock down mature trees that are important ecologically and are well-loved by residents. Natural space, by which I mean grass and foliage not stone decorations, is not well catered for in the proposal. 4. The council has not secured value for money for the hall. The purchase sum is very small compared to the profit the developer will make, and the ground rent it likely insignificant to provide anything for the council in the future. It is short-term thinking and the community and council will lose out in the long-

		<p>term.</p> <p>5. The proposed 'hotel' is not a hotel. It is a block of apartments that will be rented for a few years and then sold off in the future for greater profit. The proposal to keep them separate in the so-called 'aparthotel' is just to smooth the way for this. Is this what the council wants?</p>
86.	<p>Bob Maltz 39 Landrock Road London N8 9HR</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>We object to the current applications for the following reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed housing and car parking is overdevelopment of the site in its local context. 2. The height and massing of the proposed new residential blocks would undermine the external integrity of the listed Town Hall as an expression of civic importance which is central to its value to the community as architectural heritage and urban design (over and above any matters of style, materials and detail). 3. The proposed housing and car parking is too high and too near to surrounding residential buildings and gardens and will, therefore, result in unacceptable loss to them of daylight, sunlight and privacy. 4. The proposed development includes 146 dwellings, all of which will not be "affordable." Provision of no "affordable housing," not to mention no housing at "social housing" rental levels, is unacceptable in relation to the Council's own and London Plan standards and totally. 5. It is proposed to provide 40 car spaces for 146 "unaffordable" dwellings as well as one bicycle parking space per dwelling. In light of the excessive on-street parking pressure on the streets surrounding the site and the designation of the area as a "restricted conversion area," consent for a development with so few car spaces in relation to so many "unaffordable" dwellings should not be granted because of the adverse effect the increased nighttime on-street parking pressure (caused by the inevitable excess of owned cars to provided off-street spaces) is likely to have on the appearance, character, safety and amenity of the surrounding streets.
87.	<p>Leo Athanasatos 63b Crouch Hall Rd London N8 8HD</p> <p>Objection to the proposal</p>	<p>I object to the planning application on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confused and contradictory planning documents 2. Height in relation to the neighbourhood; Urban Context 3. Massing, Footprint and Daylight 4. Lack of social housing 5. Transport, Parking and Vehicle Movement 6. Deficiencies in Social Facilities and Infrastructure
88.	<p>David Mill 11</p>	<p>Increasing the HTH affordable housing from 4 to 11 is still nowhere near the London Mayor's 50% target. Moreover, if this is being paid for / under-written by the Council (i.e. local tax payers), the</p>

	Nightingale Lane Hornsey London Neither supports nor objects	developer should not be able to claim this as part of their planning application (unless they actually pay out of their profits).
--	--	---