

**MINUTES OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B  
TUESDAY, 19 SEPTEMBER 2006**

Councillors     Lister, Beacham and Patel

Apologies        Councillor

Also Present:    Councillor

<b>MINUTE NO.</b>	<b>SUBJECT/DECISION</b>	<b>ACTION BY</b>
<b>LSCB09.</b>	<b>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</b>  Apologies were received from Cllr Peacock who was substituted for by Cllr Patel. Apologies were received from Cllr Mughal who was substituted for by Cllr Beacham.	
<b>LSCB10.</b>	<b>URGENT BUSINESS:</b>  There were no items of urgent business	
<b>LSCB11.</b>	<b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:</b>  There were no declarations of interest.	
<b>LSCB12.</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF PROCEDURE:</b>	
<b>LSCB13.</b>	<b>MINUTES:</b>  The minutes of Licensing Sub B held on 20 June 2006 were approved.	
<b>LSCB14.</b>	<b>THE RED HEDGEHOG, 255-257 ARCHWAY ROAD N6 (HIGHGATE WARD):</b> The Sub-Committee was informed that this application had been withdrawn.	
<b>LSCB15.</b>	<b>EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC:</b>  It was resolved that the press and public be excluded as the following agenda item concerned information relating to a named individual.	
<b>LSCB16.</b>	<b>APPLICATION FOR A PERSONAL LICENSE UNDER THE LICENSING ACT 2003.</b> The applicant had made an application under the provisions of the Licensing Act (2003) for a personal licence to sell alcohol. He had undergone the required training for this licence.  However, the applicant had an unspent conviction. The conviction was for a relevant offence (drink driving). He had been convicted of drink driving in 2002 and had been fined £200 and banned for driving for 12	

**MINUTES OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B  
TUESDAY, 19 SEPTEMBER 2006**

	<p>months (later reduced to 9). The Metropolitan Police therefore had lodged an objection to the application.</p> <p>Furthermore, on one part of the licensing form, it was indicated that the applicant had no relevant convictions even though the drink driving conviction was mentioned elsewhere. The applicant responded that the form had been completed for him by Positive Licensing Ltd, as he was not that fluent in English. He said the error was a clerical error by Positive Licensing.</p> <p>The Sub-Committee resolved that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Having heard the evidence, including the objection from the police service, the Sub-Committee did not consider it necessary for the promotion of the crime prevention objective to reject the application and, therefore, the licence is to be granted.</li></ul>	
<b>LSCB17.</b>	<b>ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS:</b>  There were no items of urgent business.	

Cllr J Patel

Chair