

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 18 JULY 2011**

Councillors Adamou (Mayor), Alexander, Allison, Amin, Basu, Beacham, Bevan, Bloch, Brabazon, Browne, Bull, Butcher, Canver, Christophides, Cooke, Davies, Demirci, Diakides, Dogus, Egan, Ejiofor, Engert, Erskine, Gibson, Goldberg, Gorrie, Griffith, Hare, Jenks, Khan, Kober, Mallett, McNamara, Meehan, Newton, Reece, Reid, Reith, Rice, Schmitz, Scott, Solomon, Stanton, Stennett, Strang, Strickland, Vanier, Waters, Watson, Weber, Whyte, Wilson and Winskill

Apologies Councillor Peacock, Stewart and Williams

MINUTE NO.	SUBJECT/DECISION	ACTION BY
CNCL17.	<p>TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</p> <p>Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Peacock, Stewart and Williams.</p> <p>At this point in the proceedings the Chief Whip – Councillor Egan requested a variation in the proceedings to consider agenda Item 13 – Motion A after agenda item 4, due to the large number of public attendees for this item.</p> <p>The meeting agreed the variation to the order of business nemine contradicente.</p>	
CNCL18.	<p>TO ASK THE MAYOR TO CONSIDER THE ADMISSION OF ANY LATE ITEMS OF BUSINESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 100B OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972</p> <p>See Minutes 22, 27 and 28.</p>	
CNCL19.	<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</p> <p>The Chief Whip, Councillor Egan, advised that following discussions with Councillor Whyte, all Planning Sub-Committee Members plus two substitutes from each side would not take part or vote in the debate on Motion A. Additionally the Labour members of Planning would leave the Council Chamber during the debate.</p> <p>Councillors Basu, Beacham, Browne, Demirci, Erskine, Hare, McNamara, Newton, Reid, Rice, Schmitz and Waters declared personal and prejudicial interests in Item 13 Motion A as either Members or potential substitutes on the Planning Sub-Committee.</p> <p>Councillors Amin, Butcher, Bull, Browne, Demirci, Engert, Goldberg, Jenks, Khan, Mallett, Newton, Reid, Reith, Scott, Strickland, Whyte, and Winskill declared a personal interest in Item 13 Motion B as Members of</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 18 JULY 2011**

	<p>the Local Government Pension Scheme.</p> <p>Councillors Canver and Meehan declared a personal interest in Item 13 Motion A as members of the North London Waste Authority and indicated they would leave the Chamber during the debate.</p> <p>Councillor Dogus declared a personal interesting Item 13 Motion A as she lived in close proximity to the Pinkham Way Site, and indicated that she would leave the Chamber during the debate.</p> <p>Councillor Khan declared a personal interest in Item 12(a) as a Member of the Local Government Pensions Scheme.</p> <p>Councillor Wilson declared a prejudicial interest in Item 13 Motion B as he was an employee of the National Association of Pension Funds which campaigned on issues relating to public sector pensions and Local Government Pension Scheme at a national level.</p> <p>Councillor Gibson declared a personal interest as a carer in LB Haringey.</p>	
<p>CNCL20.</p>	<p>TO APPROVE AS A CORRECT RECORD THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON 23 MAY 2011 RESOLVED:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">That the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 23 May 2011 be signed as a true record.</p> <p>The Mayor advised that Item 13 Motion A would next be considered.</p>	
<p>CNCL21.</p>	<p>TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING MOTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NO. 13 <u>Motion A (2011/12)</u></p> <p>It was moved by Councillor Solomon and seconded by Councillor Jenks that:</p> <p>“This Council is opposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To the use of the Pinkham Way site as an industrial scale waste plant and • To the proposed relocation of Barnet Council’s waste lorry depot (which provides no advantages to Haringey’s residents) <p>This Council notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That there needs to be a long-term solution to the waste problem 	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 18 JULY 2011**

- The motion passed by the Muswell Hill, Fortis Green, Alexandra and Highgate Area Committee on 16th June 2011 against the proposals at Pinkham Way which said “That this Area Committee opposes the North London Waste Authority (NLWA) plans for a waste facility at Pinkham Way, and calls on the NLWA to drop the plans.”
- Lynne Featherstone MP and local residents’ and residents’ groups campaigns against the plans for Pinkham Way

This Council deplores:

- The secrecy with which the plan, proposal and change in land-use designations has been developed over the last two years
- The lack of communication with residents and members by Haringey Council’s Labour representatives on the North London Waste Authority (NLWA)
- Labour’s change of the land designation in November 2010, without wide consultation of local residents, which facilitated the progress of the Pinkham Way plans
- The failure of Labour members on the NLWA to object to the Pinkham Way plans and represent the interests of our community

This Council is alarmed:

- At the scale of the proposed development at Pinkham Way and likely impact on local residents and schools

This Council resolves:

- That the Leader of the Council should write to the Chief Executive of the NLWA to express councillors’ concerns over the consultation and the lack of information provided to residents and to request the application be withdrawn
- To ask current and past Haringey Council members of the NLWA to detail their role in the decision-making process on the Pinkham Way development
- To reaffirm the ecological designation of Pinkham Way and provide the maximum protection
- That contrary to the process involved with the waste plan and this proposal that the interests of residents become central to decision-making.

The meeting agreed to waive Council Procedure Rule 15 to permit the debate to extend beyond the 30 minute guillotine for an individual

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 18 JULY 2011**

motion.

An amendment to the motion was moved by Councillor Strickland and seconded by Councillor Egan that:

(delete all after "This Council" and insert)

This Council "notes:

- The proposed use of the Pinkham Way site by the North London Waste Authority (NLWA) as an industrial scale waste plant
- The proposed relocation of Barnet Council's waste lorry depot to the Pinkham Way site
- That the North London Waste Plan will be subject to an Examination in Public by a Planning Inspector, commencing in February 2012
- Haringey Council's Constitution makes clear that Full Council is not the appropriate forum to make a decision on a particular planning application. The Constitution delegates this responsibility to the council's cross-party planning sub committee.
-

This Council further notes:

- That there needs to be a long-term solution to the waste disposal and recycling needs of the seven North London boroughs.
- That prior to the start of any formal consultation, Haringey Council has taken a pro-active approach to informing residents about the Pinkham Way planning application, including presentations at Area Committees and a meeting between the Leader of the Council and the Pinkham Way Alliance.
- That since the submission of the Pinkham Way outline planning application, planning officers have raised concerns with the Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Economic Development and Social Inclusion about the Council's ability to progress the planning application without further detail.
- That as a result of negotiations led by Haringey Council, the NLWA have recognised the Council's concerns and agreed that an application, with more details to be submitted, should not be determined by Haringey Council until after receipt of the independent planning inspector's report into the North London

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 18 JULY 2011**

Waste Plan in 2012.

- The motion passed by the Muswell Hill, Fortis Green, Alexandra and Highgate Area Committee on 16th June 2011 against the proposals at Pinkham Way which said “That this Area Committee opposes the North London Waste Authority (NLWA) plans for a waste facility at Pinkham Way, and calls on the NLWA to drop the plans.
- Campaigns by local elected representatives, local residents and residents’ groups against the plans for Pinkham Way.

This Council would encourage:

- Full and open discussion on the range of waste sites including Pinkham Way.

This Council will consider on its merits:

- The scale of any proposed development at Pinkham Way and the likely impact on local residents and schools. When assessing the potential impact the council will look at concerns such as traffic, noise, odour and other relevant issues.

This Council resolves:

- That the Leader of the Council should write to the Chief Executive of the NLWA making clear the importance of the waste authority providing the detailed information needed in order for the council to proceed with a full and fair consultation and to provide any additional information requested to enable the council to properly consider all of the relevant issues.
- To ask the NLWA to detail the decision-making process on the Pinkham Way development
- To note the ecological designation of Pinkham Way and the protection that designation provides
- To run a fair, transparent and open consultation process on the planning application to ensure that affected residents in Haringey, Barnet and Enfield can exercise their right to have their views considered”.

The amendment to the motion was declared CARRIED, following a

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 18 JULY 2011**

	<p>recorded vote, as follows:</p> <p>For the Amendment: The Mayor (Councillor Adamou), Councillors Amin, Bevan, Brabazon, Bull, Christophides, Cooke, Diakides, Egan, Ejiofor, Gibson, Goldberg, Griffith, Khan, Kober, Mallett, Reith, Stanton, Stennett, Strickland, Vanier, and Watson.</p> <p>Against the Amendment: Councillors Alexander, Allison, Bloch, Butcher, Davies, Engert, Gorrie, Jenks, Reece, Scott, Solomon, Strang, Weber, Whyte, Wilson and Winskill.</p> <p>Absent: Councillors Basu, Browne, Canver, Demirci, Dogus, McNamara, Meehan, Rice and Waters. Present but did not vote or participate: Councillors Beacham, Erskine, Hare, Newton, Reid and Schmitz.</p> <p>On being put the substantive Motion was declared CARRIED (21 for, 16 against).</p>	
<p>CNCL22.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE SUCH COMMUNICATIONS AS THE MAYOR MAY LAY BEFORE THE COUNCIL</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Mayor gave her congratulations to all those who were nominated for the Haringey Carer of the Year Award and especially the joint winners Maria Wood and Hervin Forbes. This was the fifth year that Haringey had organised the event to highlight the commitment and dedication of the estimated 16,000 unpaid carers in the borough. The Mayor stated her commitment to fund raising for the Haringey Carers Association.2. The Mayor reported that Homes for Haringey had won two national excellence awards at the National Federation of ALMOs award ceremony. Mary Keane, HfH's Learning and Development Advisor, had won the ALMO Team Member of the Year award for her work with apprentices and 19 year old Derekston James, one of Homes for Haringey's Young Advisors, had won Most Outstanding Young Person of the Year for his extensive work in his local community.3. On behalf of past Mayors the Mayor gave her sincere thanks to Margot Ferris, Nigel Lindsey and Kay Hinds who were leaving the Council's service. All Mayors past and present had appreciate the hard work and efforts of all 3 officers in supporting the Mayoralty of Haringey over many years.4. The Mayor presented Councillor Eddie Griffith with the Past Mayor's Certificate, the contents of which read "with sincere thanks and appreciation for the services rendered to the borough during the past year by both Cllr Griffith and Hazel Griffith the past Mayoress".	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 18 JULY 2011**

<p>CNCL23.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE</p> <p>The Mayor agreed to the admission of this report as urgent business. The information contained in the report was not received until 13 July 2011 and changes to proportionality need to be notified to the Council at the earliest opportunity.</p> <p>Councillor Egan advised that the proposal to replace Cllr Weber with Cllr Winskill as Chair of the Crouch End, Hornsey and Stroud Green Area Committee, had arisen from a request by the Liberal Democrats, whose members nominate the Chair, in consequence of Cllr Weber resigning her local whip. It was no reflection on Councillor Weber's performance as Area Assembly Chair or her previous role as a member of the Area Forum. Councillor Egan advised that Cllr Weber would remain as a member of the Area Committee and Cllr Weber had confirmed her resignation as Area Chair on the basis of the proposal by the Liberal Democrats to replace her as Chair following her resignation of the local party whip.</p> <p>Councillor Whyte advised that Councillor Reid would replace Councillor Reece as Member on the Regulatory Committee.</p> <p>The Chief Whip Moved and it was:</p> <p>RESOLVED</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the changes to Political Group composition as detailed in paragraphs 8.1 & 8.2 of the report be noted; and 2. That the resultant changes to Council body memberships as detailed in paragraphs 8.7, 8.8 and 8.9 of the report be agreed, with the additional amendment to the membership of the Regulatory Committee by the replacement of Councillor Reece with Councillor Reid. 	
<p>CNCL24.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE MONITORING OFFICER AND HEAD OF LEGAL SERVICES</p> <p>There were no matters to report.</p>	
<p>CNCL25.</p>	<p>TO MAKE APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES</p> <p>There were no matters to report.</p>	
<p>CNCL26.</p>	<p>TO CONSIDER REQUESTS TO RECEIVE DEPUTATIONS AND/OR PETITIONS AND, IF APPROVED, TO RECEIVE THEM</p> <p>There were no deputations or petitions to report.</p>	
<p>CNCL27.</p>	<p>HARINGEY DEBATE - "HEALTH INEQUALITIES"</p> <p>The Chief Executive outlined the procedure for the first occasion where</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 18 JULY 2011**

	<p>the Council had a Haringey Debate.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member for Health and Adult Services, Councillor Dogus, gave an introduction of the debate on how and what the Council could do to tackle health inequalities whilst seeking to embed public health work generally in all aspects of the Council's work.</p> <p>Councillor Dogus introduced Mr Jason Strelitz who would address the meeting, and advised that he had held a number of public policy and research roles, and was a senior research fellow on the Marmot Review and currently a speciality trainee in public health with Southwark Primary Care Trust.</p> <p>The Mayor invited Mr Strelitz to give his 10 minute presentation in respect of health inequalities.</p> <p>The Mayor then asked Councillor Waters to give a 5 minute presentation on the priorities of the Cross-Party Working Group in her capacity as Chair of the Working Group.</p> <p>Following this the Mayor announced that there would be 40 minutes for debating, with a maximum of 3 minutes speaking time for each speaker.</p> <p>At the conclusion of the debate, the Mayor invited Councillor Dogus to respond.</p> <p>The Mayor thanked members for their participation.</p>	
<p>CNCL28.</p>	<p>TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, IF ANY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NOS. 9 & 10</p> <p>The Mayor agreed to the admission of this report as urgent business. Under Standing Orders, notice of questions was not requested until eight clear days before the meeting, following which matters raised had to be researched and replies prepared in order to be given at the meeting.</p> <p>There were 8 oral questions and 19 for written answer.</p> <p>Oral Questions 4-8 were not reached in the allotted time and written answers would be supplied to these questions.</p>	
<p>CNCL29.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE REPORTS FROM THE FOLLOWING BODIES</p> <p>At this point in the proceedings the Chief Whip, Councillor Egan, moved that given the late hour the remaining reports be adopted together with any recommendations contained therein, without further debate (Council Procedure Rule 7).</p> <p><u>2 – COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP STRATEGY 2011 – 14 AND ANNUAL DELIVERY PLAN</u></p> <p>The Mayor agreed to the admission of this report as urgent business. The Home Office required that a Partnership Plan be produced by local</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 18 JULY 2011**

Community Safety Partnerships. The Council must endorse the Community Safety Partnership Strategy and Annual Deliver Plan, which had been discussed and endorsed at the Community Safety Partnership Board and Overview and Scrutiny.

RESOLVED:

That the three strategic priorities and seven objectives based on the content of the full strategy be noted and adopted.

That the approach and actions in the Annual Delivery Plan be endorsed.

a) GOVERNANCE REVIEW DELIVERY GROUP REPORT NO 1 – 2011/12

RESOLVED:

That the proposed changes as detailed in the report of the Governance Review Working Group meeting of 19 July 2011 be received and adopted.

b) CORPORATE COMMITTEE REPORT NO 1 2011/12

RESOLVED:

That Treasury Management activity and performance during 2010/11 be noted.

c) CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW WORKING GROUP REPORT NO1 2011/12

The Mayor agreed to the admission of this report as urgent business. Consultation on proposed revisions to Contract Standing Orders was only concluded on 11 July 2011. The Council needed to consider the CRWG recommendations as it was proposed that the changes should be operative from 1 August 2011.

RESOLVED:

That the proposed amendments to the Council's Contracts Standing Orders as detailed in the appendix to the report of the Constitutional Review Working Group be agreed and that these changes come into effect from 1 August 2011, and that the amendments be incorporated into the Council's Constitution at part 4 J Contract Procedure Rules.

Motion B (2011/12)

Motion B was not reached due to the late hour.

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 18 JULY 2011**

--	--	--

COUNCILLOR GINA ADAMOU

Mayor