

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

Councillors *Kober (Chair), *Reith (Vice-Chair), *Bevan, *Canver, *Dogus, *Goldberg, *Strickland and *Vanier

*Present

Also Present: Councillors Brabazon, Bull, Ejiofor, Weber and Wilson

MINUTE NO.	SUBJECT/DECISION	ACTION BY
CAB14.	<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Agenda Item 3)</p> <p>Councillor Canver declared a personal interest in item 19 - Adoption of the Inter Authority Agreement in Relation to North London Waste Authority (NLWA) Procurement of Waste Disposal Services.</p>	HLDMS
CAB15.	<p>MINUTES (Agenda Item 4)</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the minutes of the meetings of the Cabinet held on 7 and 30 June 2011 be confirmed and signed.</p>	HLDMS
CAB16.	<p>DEPUTATIONS/PETITIONS/QUESTIONS (Agenda Item 5)</p> <p>We received a deputation from the Haringey Federation of Residents' Associations - Vulnerable Groups. The spokesperson, Sue Hessel, addressed our meeting and expressed concern about the impact on people who relied on care in Council run residential care and respite care homes which it was proposed to close and also about the strain that this would place on their relatives and carers.</p> <p>She emphasised the vulnerability of the users some of whom were very frail and would now be losing their homes. Hitherto, the Council's provision in these homes had been good and it was the wish of residents and their families to remain there. Reference was made to the uncertainty which would follow if the closures were to proceed and the fear that the residents would end up with placements which were not as good and that families even provided with financial allowances would struggle to meet the costs of a good care home. Reference was also made to recent media coverage of abuse in a privately run care home and also to the closure by another private sector provider, Southern Cross, of its operations which brought into question the financial viability of private sector providers generally.</p> <p>While there was no objection to the commissioning of services from the voluntary and charity sector it was questionable whether the arrangement would work well for people with more profound disabilities. The third sector would always find it hard to compete for funding for adults with learning difficulties or dementia. It was also very difficult to</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

persuade volunteers to help work with this group of people who would always require residential care. Families would go under if they had to look after profoundly disabled relatives without residential care and closing good public sector care homes for profoundly disabled people would not help anyone and would not cut costs. The Big Society/third sector approach would not work for this profoundly needy sector in an urban conurbation like Haringey and the homes which it was proposed to close were a vital safety net which would not be replicated any cheaper in the long term by businesses or charities and she asked that the Council retain these homes.

Questions were then put to the deputation by Cabinet Members and answers given following which the Cabinet Member for Adult and Community Services responded to the issues raised in their submission. She thanked the deputation for their submission and families and carers for their work over a period of years. It was recognised that the proposals concerned people and their homes and the value of respite care was recognised too. Any anxiety caused by the proposals was regretted including that felt by the Council's own staff. While there was no doubt these services were valued it was because of the current budgetary challenge that the Council were having to consider the very difficult decision of the closure of these three older people's residential care homes and Whitehall Street, the in-house residential and respite care home for people with a learning disability.

The challenging budgetary framework in which the Council had to operate meant that a number of Adult Social Care service reductions would have to be considered. The Council would seek to ensure that it continued to offer the highest quality of service possible including by looking at what was currently provided and the way in which it was provided. While the demand for residential care was increasing the Council was trying to move away from residential placements and towards other options including extra care schemes. There was to be no change to the Council's eligibility criteria and Haringey Adult and Community Services would continue to provide services to individuals who were assessed as having needs that were substantial or critical.

Residents of the care homes who would be affected by the proposed closures would have every support, along with their families, in identifying another suitable care home to which to move. All residents and people who accessed respite care would receive a full assessment and review of their care plan, and an alternative, high quality residential placement found which fully met both theirs and their carer's needs in terms of both quality and appropriate geographical location.

Our Chair thanked the deputation for their presentation and, tribute having been to the work carried out by families and carers, invited them to remain for the discussion of budget issues as part of item 9 - Proposed Closure of Three Older People's Residential Care Homes and Learning Disabilities Residential And Respite Care Homes.

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

<p>CAB17.</p>	<p>MATTERS REFERRED BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE (Agenda Item 6)</p> <p><u>Scrutiny Review of Corporate Parenting</u> (Agenda Item 6a)</p> <p>We noted the Scrutiny Review of Corporate Parenting and our Chair expressed our appreciation and thanks to the Panel members (Councillors Ejiolor (Chair), Alexander, Gibson and Solomon) for their work in carrying out the review.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">That the report be noted and, in accordance with the requirements of the Constitution, officers be requested to submit a Cabinet response including a detailed tabulated implementation action plan.</p> <p><u>Scrutiny Review of Health: Everyone's Business</u> (Agenda Item 6b)</p> <p>We noted the Scrutiny Review of the Haringey Guarantee and our Chair expressed our appreciation and thanks to Councillor Bull (as Chair) and Winskill (as Scrutiny Well-being Lead) and to all other Councillors who had contributed to the review.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">That in accordance with Part Four Section G Paragraph 1.3 (viii) the report be noted.</p>	<p>DCS</p>
<p>CAB18.</p>	<p>FINANCIAL MONITORING (Report of the Director of Corporate Resources - Agenda Item 7)</p> <p>Our Chair agreed to admit the report as urgent business. The report was late because of the need to complete necessary consultations.</p> <p>We noted that the report set out on an exceptions basis the Council's budget management performance for the year to May 2011 and sought agreement to budget virements in accordance with financial regulations.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the report and the progress being made against the Council's priorities be noted and approval be granted to the budget changes (virements) set out in Appendix 2 to the interleaved report. 2. That the Director of the Children's Service take the necessary action to bring current year spending to within the approved budget. 	<p>DCS</p>
<p>CAB19.</p>	<p>FINANCIAL PLANNING 2012/13 - 2014/15 (Report of the Director of Corporate Resources - Agenda Item 8)</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<p>Our Chair agreed to admit the report as urgent business. The report was late because of the need to complete necessary consultations.</p> <p>We noted that the report set out financial and planning issues for the three year planning period to 2014-15 and to propose a process for setting the Council's budget 2012-13 and Medium Term Financial Plan 2012-15.</p> <p>Reference was made comments at a meeting of the GLA European and International Board in relation to the possible move to full taxation of local authorities and clarification was sought of what was known of such a proposal. Officers indicated that they would look into the matter and provide Councillor Canver with a response outside the meeting.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the latest revenue financial forecasts for 2012-15 as set out in paragraphs 9.29 and 10 and in Appendix 1 to the interleaved report be noted. 2. That Directors identify draft proposals to deliver a balanced and sustainable Medium Term Financial Plan 2012-15 for consideration at our meeting on 4 October. 3. That the draft business planning and budget timetable for 2012-13 as set out in paragraph 11 to the interleaved report be endorsed. 	<p>CEMB</p> <p>DCR</p>
<p>CAB20.</p>	<p>PROPOSED CLOSURE OF THREE OLDER PEOPLE'S RESIDENTIAL CARE HOMES AND LEARNING DISABILITIES RESIDENTIAL AND RESPITE CARE HOMES (Report of the Director of Adult and Housing Services - Agenda Item 9)</p> <p>We noted that the purpose of the report was to inform us of the outcome of a process of consultation in relation to the future of four separate service areas, all of which are directly provided by the Council, and to give us sufficient information to enable an informed decision to be made about all four services.</p> <p>We also noted that the Red House, Broadwater Lodge and Cranwood were residential care homes for older people, while Whitehall Street provided residential and respite care services for people with learning difficulties. The decisions now being recommended were being taken in the context of decisions in principle to close these services, subject to full consultation with service users and all other interested parties, taken at our meeting on 21 December 2010 and in the wider context of the Haringey Efficiency Savings Programme.</p> <p>In response to a question about whether the local market for people with multiple disabilities had been assessed officers confirmed that homes with capacity had been mapped out and that they would provide Councillor Weber with further details outside the meeting.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p>	<p>DAH</p>

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted to the closure of Broadwater Lodge, Cranwood, The Redhouse Council-run residential care homes for older people producing a gross savings of £2.805 million and a net savings of £1.813 million per annum from 2013/14. 2. That approval be granted to the closure of Whitehall Street, a Council-run residential and respite care home for people with learning disabilities producing a gross savings of £918,000 net savings of £237,000 per annum from 20/12/13. 3. That the proposed dates of closure should be no later than 31 March 2012, for Whitehall Street, and no later than 31 March 2013 for the three older people's residential care homes. 4. That it be noted that Whitehall Street was a residential care home for people with learning disabilities, offering permanent places for 10 people, and a respite service utilising 5 beds. 5. That it be noted that Cranwood, The Redhouse and Broadwater Lodge were all residential care homes for older people, offering services for very frail older people with and dementia care. 6. That it be noted that all the residential care homes were registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC), and were seen by them as being compliant with regulations made under Section 20 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and that all the services provided were assessed as 'Good' by CQC in the previous inspection regime. 7. That the in principle decision to close these four services taken at our meeting on 21 December 2010 (vide Minute CAB.91 – 2010/11) to close these four services, having taken into account the outcome of the consultation process (Appendix 1), including the outcome of the consultation with trade unions and staff (Appendix 6) and the attached Equalities Impact Assessment (Appendix 2) be confirmed. 	<p>DAH</p> <p>DAH</p> <p>DAH</p> <p>DAH</p> <p>DAH</p>
<p>CAB21.</p>	<p>ANNUAL SCHOOL PLACE PLANNING REPORT (Report of Director of Children's Services - Agenda Item 10)</p> <p>We noted that the report outlined demand for pupil places in Haringey's Primary, Secondary, Special schools and Post 16 settings and also provided an update on actions to respond to this demand.</p> <p>RESOLVED</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted to the working priorities as set out in paragraph 20 of the interleaved report with recommendations arising from this work being presented in July 2011. 2. That approval be granted to the new statutory consultation 	<p>DCS</p> <p>DCS</p>

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<p>reporting process as outlined in the interleaved report.</p> <p>3. That approval be granted to officers proceeding with the first stage of consultation on permanent expansion for the following schools in order to allow the outcome of the consultation and any Free School proposals approved by the Department for Education for September 2012 to be reviewed by the Cabinet Member for Children and, if necessary, reported to us in November at which point, a decision would be made if expansion was still appropriate at any or all of the schools, and statutory notices would be published in late November:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Belmont Infant & Junior Schools (from 2fe to 3fe) Lancasterian School (from 2fe to 3fe) Welbourne School (from 2fe to 3fe)</p> <p>4. That a further annual report on school places be presented in July 2012.</p>	<p>DCS</p> <p>DCS</p>
<p>CAB22.</p>	<p>CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S SERVICE CAPITAL PROGRAMME UPDATE (Report of the Director of Children's Services - Agenda Item 11)</p> <p>Our Chair agreed to admit the report as urgent business. The report was late because of the need to complete necessary consultations.</p> <p>We noted that the report provided us with an update on the capital programme for the Children and Young People's Service and requested approval to budget virements within the 2011/12 programme.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the progress on Building Schools for the Future (BSF) projects and the proposed formal closure of the programme in September 2011 be noted. 2. That the requirement to provide additional primary school places by September 2013 as set out in the interleaved report be noted. 3. That approval be granted to £400,000 of the Pupil Place Planning budget within the current approved 2011/12 Children and Young People's Service capital programme being used to provide for the detailed design of 4 primary school expansion projects in order to provide new pupil places. 4. That it be noted that the BSF Board had agreed that the lifecycle works at secondary school sites detailed at Appendix C to the interleaved report should be funded from BSF resources already in the programme, and that the balance would be funded within existing approved planned use of the lifecycle fund. 5. That approval be granted to the capital virements set out in 	<p>DCS</p> <p>DCS</p>

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<p>Appendix D to the interleaved report which would update the 2011/12 programme and reflect the decisions above.</p> <p>6. That the current issues and risks relating to funding of the programme in the future be noted.</p>	
<p>CAB23.</p>	<p>UPDATE ON HARINGEY'S LOCAL DEVELOPMENT SCHEME (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 13)</p> <p>We noted that the report sought approval for the revised timetable for the Local Development Scheme (LDS) for Haringey and advised that a formal revision of the current LDS (adopted in September 2010) was necessary, as there were significant changes to the timetable for preparing the key documents.</p> <p>We also noted that the necessary revisions to the programme for preparing the Local Development Documents were set out in Appendix 1 to the report which also showed how the timetable will differ from the existing LDS. Approval was also sought for authority to agree future revisions of the LDS to be delegated to the Director of Place and Sustainability in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Economic Development and Social Inclusion.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted to the submission of the Haringey's revised Local Development Scheme (LDS) to the Greater London Authority (GLA) and the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG). 2. That authority to agree any amendments required to be made to the revised LDS document following comments from the GLA and the DCLG be delegated to Director of Place and Sustainability in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Economic Development and Social Inclusion. 3. That authority to agree future necessary revisions of the LDS to be delegated to the Director of Place and Sustainability in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Economic Development and Social Inclusion. 	<p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p>
<p>CAB24.</p>	<p>UPDATE ON UPPER LEE VALLEY OPPORTUNITY AREA FRAMEWORK (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 14)</p> <p>We noted that the report informed us of the emerging Upper Lee Valley (ULV) Opportunity Area Framework being prepared by the Greater London Authority in consultation with relevant boroughs. In Haringey, the ULV covered Tottenham Hale growth area and the A10/A1010 corridor including Northumberland Park, Tottenham High Road and Tottenham Green. The level of growth predicted in the draft London Plan for the ULV corridor was a minimum of 9000 homes and 15,000 jobs</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<p>between 2011-2031.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That it be noted that a planning framework was currently being prepared by the Greater London Authority to guide the development and investment in the Upper Lee Valley (ULV) Opportunity Area the aim of which was to summarise and integrate the borough plans of Haringey, Enfield, Waltham Forest and Hackney for the ULV area, focusing on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ponders End, Central Leaside, Tottenham Hale, Tottenham High Road, Blackhorse Lane, the Lee Valley Park and • housing and employment growth, transport and energy management 2. That authority to agree the Council's response to the draft planning framework for Upper Lee Valley be delegated to the Director of Place and Sustainability in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Economic and Social Inclusion, when the Council was invited to comment on it by the GLA. 3. That approval be granted to the thrust of the developing Tottenham Vision as set out in paragraph 7.10 of the interleaved report going into the Opportunity Area Planning Framework (OAPF) and being developed by more detailed investment and spatial plans. 	<p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p>
<p>CAB25.</p>	<p>RESPONSE TO THE SCRUTINY REVIEW OF THE HARINGEY GUARANTEE (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 15)</p> <p>We noted that following the funding cuts imposed by Central Government the Council was not able to continue the Area Based Grant funded Haringey Guarantee in its 2010/11 format. Further, the failure to secure Work Programme sub-contracts meant that Council had to rethink its approach to tackling worklessness and the resources it employed to do this.</p> <p>We also noted that work was underway on developing a new approach for delivery from 2012 which would link employment programmes with Carbon Management and the wider green environmental agenda. While this work was underway on developing a new approach an interim programme based around a reduced Haringey Guarantee Delivery Team with commissioned interventions focussed on young people and parents which addressed the main recommendations of the Overview and Scrutiny Review.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the response to the Scrutiny Review of the Haringey Guarantee be noted and endorsed.</p>	<p>DPS</p>

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

<p>CAB26.</p>	<p>REPORT OF THE SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT COMMISSION (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 16)</p> <p>We noted that the report informed us of the findings of the Sustainable Transport Commission and of their recommendations for changes to the Council's transport policies, organisational structures and distribution of transport funding.</p> <p>We also noted that the report proposed a response to the recommendations and set out an action plan for taking this forward.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the report of the Sustainable Transport Commission as set out at Appendix A to the interleaved report be noted and our thanks to all participants for their efforts be placed on record. 2. That approval be granted to the response to the Commission's recommendations as set out in Appendix B to the interleaved report including seeking to reduce traffic levels in the borough, giving priority to pedestrians, cyclists and public transport users when developing transport schemes and working on a more joined up transport policy approach across the Council and partners. 3. That it be noted that the Smarter Travel programme was due to be launched in the autumn with the main focus on encouraging cycling and reducing road traffic. 	<p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p>
<p>CAB27.</p>	<p>SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT WORKS PLAN (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 17)</p> <p>We noted that the report sought approval for the Sustainable Transport Works Plan for 2011/12 and provided details of the Council's progress on delivering transport investment in 2010/11.</p> <p>In response to a question officers indicated that they would supply Councillor Reith outside the meeting with further details of the Walking Scheme for High Road Ferry Lane – Tottenham Hale Ward.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That approval be granted to the Sustainable Transport Works Plan for 2011/12 as set out in Appendix A to the interleaved report.</p>	<p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p>
<p>CAB28.</p>	<p>RESPONSE TO SCRUTINY REVIEW OF 20 M.P.H. SPEED LIMIT (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 18)</p> <p>We noted that there were two main aims for considering introducing a 20mph limit in all residential roads in the borough to reduce the number of road traffic accidents and personal injury accidents; and to change the</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<p>feeling of streets to reduce speeds and encourage more walking and cycling.</p> <p>However, we also noted that in Haringey, the majority of road traffic and personal injury accidents occurred on the main roads which would not be affected by this proposal. In addition, the evidence on whether 20mph limits led to increased walking and cycling was also uncertain. There was also the risk that it would increase resident's perception of safety, when there had been no or minimal change in speeds. The reductions in speed was less for 20mph limits than it was for zones.</p> <p>Further, there would be minimal enforcement of a 20mph limit and implementation using LIP funding would require using virtually all of the Council's LIP funding for works for the next two-three years. Transport for London had indicated that they would not agree a LIP programme based purely on introducing a 20mph limit.</p> <p>In response to a question about why the cost of implementing a 20 mph limit in Haringey would be double the cost of the Islington scheme to which reference was made in paragraph 7.6 of the report, officers indicated that they would supply Councillor Wilson with further details of the costings outside the meeting.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That consultation on or the introduction of a 20 mph limit on all residential roads in the Borough be not agreed. 2. That the responses to the other recommendations of the Scrutiny Review of the 20 mph limit as set out at Appendix A to the interleaved report be endorsed. 	<p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p>
<p>CAB29.</p>	<p>ADOPTION OF THE INTER AUTHORITY AGREEMENT IN RELATION TO NORTH LONDON WASTE AUTHORITY (NLWA) PROCUREMENT OF WASTE DISPOSAL SERVICES (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 19)</p> <p>At this juncture, Councillor Canver having earlier declared a personal interest in this item by virtue of being an NLWA Board Member withdrew from the room.</p> <p>At this juncture, Councillor Canver having earlier declared a personal interest in this item by virtue of being an NLWA Board Member withdrew from the room.</p> <p>We noted that the report set out the basis for and implications of a legally binding Inter Authority Agreement (IAA) between the North London Waste Authority (NLWA) and its seven Constituent Borough Councils. A decision was required on the Council agreeing to enter into the IAA in principle, and specifically on Cabinet granting delegated authority to make minor amendments to the detailed terms of the IAA and to arrange execution of the final agreement.</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<p>We also noted that the IAA document would govern the interface between the signatory authorities with regards waste management over the life of the NLWA's proposed future waste management contracts. These were the subject of a current procurement process and were expected to last up to 30 years. The IAA document reflected the 12 IAA 'Key Principles', which we agreed at our meeting on 21 December 2010. A decision on this was now sought as the IAA involved significant long term financial and strategic implications for the borough.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted in principle to the Council entering into the IAA in accordance with the terms set out in Section 5 of the interleaved report, and to the delegation of authority to agree amendments and detailed terms to the final form of the IAA, and to execute the IAA accordingly to the Director of Place and Sustainability and the Director of Corporate Resources in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods; 2. That it be noted that the Director of Place and Sustainability should be nominated in the IAA as the Council's Representative for the purposes of the IAA and should discharge that either responsibility personally or by nominated deputy as appropriate; 3. That authority to complete Schedule 1 Part A and Schedule 2 Part A of the IAA providing details of the waste collection system and tonnage forecasts for the contract period, to reflect increases in recycling rates and changes to waste levels be delegated to the Director of Place and Sustainability in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods; 4. That authority to complete Schedule 1 Part B and Schedule 2 Part B of the IAA as necessary, to replace Schedule 1 Part A and Schedule 2 Part A in the IAA be delegated to the Director of Place and Sustainability in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods; 5. That approval be granted to the transfer of the two Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRCs) at Hornsey and Park View Road to the NLWA from 1 April 2012 and to the delegation of authority to negotiate the detailed terms of transfer to the Director of Place and Sustainability. 	<p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p>
<p>CAB30.</p>	<p>AUTHORISATION TO OFFICERS OF THE BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL ILLEGAL MONEY LENDING TEAM TO ENFORCE PARTS OF THE CONSUMER CREDIT ACT WITHIN THE LONDON BOROUGH OF HARINGEY (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 20)</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<p>We noted that the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (DBIS) was funding a nationwide network of specialist Illegal Money Lending Teams to deal with the activities of illegal money lenders. Up to 31 March 2011 a London team was operated on the Council's behalf by the London Borough of Tower Hamlets.</p> <p>However, the DBIS had revised the funding arrangements with effect from 1 April 2011 and there was now one national team covering the whole of England. The team would be operated by Birmingham City Council which had already been successfully operating a team covering a number of English regions. In order to operate in Haringey their officers would need to be granted the authority to exercise the powers under the Consumer Credit Act.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted to the Protocol between the London Borough of Haringey and Birmingham City Council in relation to Cross Border Working as set out at Appendix 1 to the interleaved report. 2. That the Director of Place and Sustainability be authorised to enter into the Protocol between the London Borough of Haringey and Birmingham City Council in relation to Cross Border Working and to sign the Instrument of Delegation as set out at Appendix 2 on behalf of the Council in order to delegate to Birmingham City Council the enforcement of provisions in the Consumer Credit Act 1974 and powers to prosecute in relation to money lending as described in the Protocol. 	<p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p>
<p>CAB31.</p>	<p>UNITS 20 AND 21 CRANFORD WAY INDUSTRIAL ESTATE (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 21)</p> <p>We noted that the acquisition of these buildings had been incidental to the acquisition of the adjoining vacant site which had been acquired for the relocation of the Re-Use and Recycling centre operating out of Hornsey Central depot.</p> <p>We also noted that whilst the buildings had been used temporarily to deal with office accommodation requirements and for general storage, they were not required for continued retention for administration or other service use or for inclusion as part of the Council's commercial portfolio and were surplus to Council requirement.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the Head of Corporate Property Services be authorised to dispose of the Council's freehold interest in units 20 and 21 Cranford Way Industrial Estate, Tottenham Lane N8 for the best consideration reasonably obtainable.</p>	<p>DPS</p>
<p>CAB32.</p>	<p>SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC PROGRAMME ON CORPORATE AND</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<p>SOCIAL HOUSING BUILDINGS (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 22)</p> <p>Our Chair agreed to admit the report as urgent business. The report was late because of the need to complete necessary consultations.</p> <p>We noted that the report sought approval to the adoption of a solar photovoltaic programme for Council buildings, schools and Council-owned residential assets.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted to the adoption of a Solar PV installation programme, to contribute to the Council's carbon reduction targets and generate revenue to support frontline services noting that an optimal combination of the contracting and roof rental solutions, both in terms of income and risk, would help the Council to meet its political objectives within tight timescales, whilst also ensuring risks were minimised. 2. That approval be granted to the use of a contracting model for all properties out of which the Council operated, including schools, granting access to a maximum loan of £8,658,000 through prudential borrowing to generate income of up to £162,000 per annum allocated to the Council's general fund, and cost avoidance of circa £155,000 per annum in energy and, potentially, CRC budgets, spread across the Council and schools. 3. That approval be granted to the inclusion of the Solar Photovoltaic Programme in the Council's Capital programme 2011/12 in a sum of up to £8.658 million subject to the Chief Financial officer's satisfaction on the outcomes of the due diligence process to which reference was made in paragraph 8.11 of the interleaved report. 4. That approval be granted to the use of a 'rent-a-roof' model for installations on housing stock, where no capital expenditure would be required, the installation of which would result in potential income for the Council of up to £91,000 per annum allocated with the Council's general fund and avoidance of £115,000 per annum in energy costs for residents. 5. That approval be granted to the of two procurement routes to deliver the contract and that it be noted that this solution would split installation across two existing supply agreement and supply chains with Birmingham City Council for contracting and a request for quotation process for Rent-a-Roof. 6. That approval be granted to the creation of an investment board to critically review the financial projects of installations on a case-by-case basis. 	<p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p>
--	--	---

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<p>7. That authority be delegated to the Cabinet Member for Finance and Carbon Reduction to approve recommendations made by the investment board.</p> <p>8. That the time criticality of the FiT opportunity in its current form be noted and approval granted to the immediate commencement of the programme to derive maximum benefit from FiTs.</p> <p>9. That it be noted that a revised programme for outstanding installations might have to be submitted if the full programme could not be completed by April 2012, or if asset disposals disrupt installation plans which might mean the full £8,658,000 was not required and revenue generation would reduce in line with the proportion of installations achieved.</p>	<p>DPS</p> <p>DPS</p>
<p>CAB33.</p>	<p>MINUTES OF OTHER BODIES (Agenda Item 23)</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the minutes of the following meetings be noted and any necessary action approved –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Haringey Standing Leadership Conference – 6 June 2011; b. Cabinet Member (Children’s Services) Signing – 16 June 2011; c. Cabinet Member (Leader) Signing – 22 June 2011 d. Corporate Parenting Advisory Committee – 28 June 2011; e. Procurement Committee -30 June 2011. 	
<p>CAB34.</p>	<p>DELEGATED DECISIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACTIONS (Report of the Assistant Chief Executive - Agenda Item 24)</p> <p>The Appendix to the interleaved report was the subject of a motion to exclude the press and public from the meeting as it contained exempt information relating to the business or financial affairs of any particular person.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the report be noted and any necessary action approved.</p>	
<p>CAB35.</p>	<p>FORMER HORNSEY CENTRAL DEPOT (Report of the Director of Place and Sustainability - Agenda Item 28)</p> <p>The interleaved report was the subject of a motion to exclude the press and public from the meeting as it contained exempt information relating to the amount of expenditure proposed to be incurred by the authority under a particular contract for the supply of goods and services.</p> <p>We noted that the report provided an update on the ongoing negotiations between Sainsbury’s Supermarket Limited (SSL) and the London</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET
TUESDAY, 19 JULY 2011**

	<p>Square (LS) (Sainsbury's chosen preferred bidder) pursuant entering into a Development Agreement. The report also sought approval to revise the terms of the Land Agreement, previously reported to our meeting on 13 July 2010.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the Head of Corporate Property Services be authorised in consultation with the Director of Place and Sustainability and the Cabinet Member for Finance and Carbon Reduction to agree the proposed amendments and vary the Land Agreement with SSL in accordance with paragraph 10.1 of the interleaved report.</p>	HP/ DPS
--	--	------------

The meeting ended at 21.05 hours.

CLAIRE KOBER
Chair