Equality Impact Assessment Screening Tool				
1	Lead officer contact details: DALIAH BARRETT			
2	Date: 21/10/26			
3	Summary of the proposal: FEES AND CHARGES			
	Response to Screening Questions	**Yes**	**No**	Please explain your answer.
a) Type of proposal				
4.	Is this a new proposal or a significant change to a policy or service, including commissioned service?		X	The various pieces of legislation administered in the team all require a fee to be paid by the applicant to ensure a valid application is submitted. The fee submitted pays for the processing and or enforcement of that particular licensing regime.
5.	Does the proposal remove, reduce or alter a service or policy?		X	
6.	Will there be a restructure or significant changes in staffing arrangements? Please see the restructure pages for guidance for restructure EqIAs.		X	
7.	If the service or policy is not changing, have there been any known equality issues or concerns with current provision. For example, cases of discrimination or failure to tackle inequalities in outcomes in the past?		X	
b) Known inequalities				
8.	Could the proposal disproportionally impact on any particular communities, disadvantaged or vulnerable residents?		X	We do not have geographical locations information/evidence held of applicants. the traditional traders reside all over London and any temp traders are able to apply and get a

				temporary one off trading at any given time there are no restriction as to who can apply, but all applications are considered under the criteria set in the legislation by ensuring there would be sufficient space in the street and that they are not selling restricted commodities such as knives, second-hand heaters etc. Both of these matter are set in legislation and the Council ensure compliance.
9.	Is the service targeted towards particular disadvantaged or vulnerable residents? *This can be a service specifically for a group, such as services for people with Learning Disabilities. It can also be a universal service but has specific measures to tackle inequalities, such as encouraging men to take up substance misuse services.*		X	We do not have any evidence that certain wards are more affected than others or any proxy indicators that certain groups are disproportionately impacted.
10.	Are there any known inequalities? For example, particular groups are not currently accessing services that they need or are more likely to suffer inequalities in outcomes, such as health outcomes.		X	
11	If you have answered yes to at least one question in both sections a) and b), Please complete an EqIA.			If a decision is taken not to proceed with a full EqIA, please document carefully your reasons here: *For example:* • *The proposal is likely to have no/minimal impact on groups that share the protected characteristics or other disadvantaged groups* • *The service currently is effective in tackling inequalities and it is not changing*

				• *Any changes will not have any impact on service users, residents or staff*