

Consultation Analysis

Start Date 28 January 2026

Statutory Consultation Analysis

Proposed Zebra Crossing on Holmdale Terrace N15

Data Analysis

1a. Overall Support

		Count	%
Support or Object	Support	7	50%
	Object	7	50%
	Total	14	100%

1b. Support – by Road

		Support or Object			
		Support		Object	
		Count	Row % >>	Count	Row % >>
Road name	Vartry Road	6	75%	2	25%
	Holmdale Terrace	1	17%	5	83%
	Total	7	50%	7	50%

Level 1S River Park House
225 High Road,
London N22 8HQ

020 8489 1000

www.haringey.gov.uk

2. Comments – by Road

Case Summaries

Road name	Support or Object	Q3. Comments (If objecting, please give reasons)
Vartry Road	Support	
Vartry Road	Object	Have zebra crossing at the top of Holmdale. It will be more convenient. The scheme will result in significant loss of parking, and parking is already very limited.
Vartry Road	Object	need to address the loss of parking space this causes. There is already a lack of parking space here
Vartry Road	Support	
Vartry Road	Support	
Holmdale Terrace	Support	This is great news. The very steep steps down to the platform are difficult to use and dangerous at times - particularly in bad weather. Many thanks for the update.

Holmdale Terrace

Object

Proposed Zebra Crossing, Holmdale Terrace N15 I strongly object to the proposed zebra crossing and associated highway works on Holmdale Terrace, N15. I am the freehold owner of the property directly outside the proposed crossing and have lived here for years. The proposal would have a serious and disproportionate impact on disabled residents, emergency access, and residential amenity, and appears unnecessary and unsuitable for this location. My wife is a Blue Badge holder with significant mobility and health issues. She cannot walk distances and relies entirely on close vehicle access for hospital appointments, carers, medical visits, and emergency services. Ambulances and doctors regularly attend our home. Our immediate neighbour is also disabled, and No. 4 Holmdale Terrace is a mental health care home with frequent ambulance and police attendance. The proposed removal of approximately 3–4 permit parking spaces and the introduction of footway build-outs would place disabled residents at a substantial disadvantage. This raises serious concerns under the Equality Act 2010, including the duty to make reasonable adjustments (Sections 20 and 29) and the Public Sector Equality Duty (Section 149). Our household requires multiple vehicles for essential purposes, including a Blue Badge vehicle, a carer’s vehicle, visiting healthcare professionals, and medical deliveries. I am a local licensed minicab driver and frequently return to the property throughout the day to care for and attend to my wife. Loss of nearby parking would make safe access extremely difficult. I had previously intended to construct a driveway, but this was unnecessary due to adequate on-street parking. If the scheme proceeds, a driveway may become essential for disabled access and emergencies. A zebra crossing directly outside or adjacent to a driveway would create an unsafe conflict between vehicles and pedestrians and would permanently prevent a reasonable access solution for a disabled household. There is no evidence of speeding or safety issues at this location. An existing speed bump effectively controls traffic and would be removed as part of the scheme, likely increasing vehicle speeds and risk. The crossing also appears to serve no clear purpose, as there is no footpath or destination on the opposite side, only railway fencing. The proposal would also increase noise, disturbance, loss of privacy, and remove a healthy established tree that contributes positively to the street environment. For these reasons, I strongly object to the proposed zebra crossing and request that the scheme is withdrawn or relocated to a more suitable location that does not disproportionately harm disabled residents or

		<p>compromise emergency access. Yours faithfully, Freehold Property Owner, Holmdale Terrace N15</p>
Holmdale Terrace	Object	<p>I am the owner of the property directly outside the proposed crossing and have lived here for many years. The proposal would have serious consequences for disabled residents, emergency access, and their visitors essential for disabled access and emergencies. A zebra crossing directly outside or adjacent to a driveway would create an unsafe conflict between vehicles and pedestrians and would restrict access.</p>
Holmdale Terrace	Object	<p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed zebra crossing outside my property. While I support pedestrian safety improvements generally, I have serious concerns about the impact this specific proposal will have on accessibility, parking, and resident safety. - I have lived at this property for 29 years and there have been no existing pedestrian safety issues requiring a crossing here. - The crossing would result in the loss of 3–4 essential parking spaces directly outside my home. - Parking on the opposite side is not viable due to no proper footpath, overgrown vegetation, fencing restricting door access, and poor maintenance. - My mother is a Blue Badge holder with limited mobility, and my vehicle is registered under the Haringey Blue Badge Scheme specifically for her care. Parking directly outside is essential for daily access, medical visits, medicine deliveries, and occasional ambulance attendance. - Other accessibility considerations on the street include: - Another Blue Badge holder at No. X. - A mental health care home (No. X) requiring frequent ambulance/police access. - Driveways at Nos. 5–6 already reducing parking. - Nearby elderly care home staff also use local parking. - Under the Equality Act 2010, accessibility for disabled residents must be carefully considered, and loss of essential parking may negatively impact this. - Removal of the existing speed hump on a hill may increase speeding and create new safety risks. - There is already a pedestrian crossing nearby providing access towards the school and station. - Installation may increase traffic into what is currently a quiet residential area, potentially reducing safety rather than improving it. - The street currently has no enforcement cameras, which may introduce further infrastructure and impact the residential character. I am not opposed to pedestrian safety measures in principle, but I strongly request that alternative locations or solutions be considered that do not remove essential parking or negatively affect disabled residents.</p>

Holmdale Terrace	Object	<p>I am disabled and have limited mobility. Introducing a zebra crossing directly outside my residence would be dangerous for me personally and seriously disruptive to my daily life. Increased traffic stopping, queuing vehicles, pedestrians congregating, and vehicle movements close to my front door would significantly increase risk and stress, particularly given my mobility limitations.</p> <p>Rather than improving safety for me as a resident, this proposal would reduce my ability to move safely and independently in and out of my home and would have a direct negative impact on my health and wellbeing. I do not believe sufficient consideration has been given to the impact on disabled residents living immediately adjacent to the proposed crossing, and I respectfully request that this be reconsidered.</p> <p>For these reasons, I strongly ask the Council not to proceed with the current proposal and to explore alternative options or locations that do not place disabled residents at risk.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>
------------------	--------	--

Holmdale Terrace	Object	<p>I am writing as a resident of Holmdale Terrace and a Blue Badge holder regarding the planned parking suspension associated with the Stamford Hill Step-Free project, scheduled from 9 February –18 May outside our home. I want to start by saying I do appreciate the importance of improving accessibility in our area. However, I hope you can also understand my concern that, as someone with mobility challenges, the loss of nearby parking could unintentionally create new accessibility difficulties for me personally. My husband and I have lived at this property for over 42 years. During that time, we have seen the street change from free parking to a permit-holder system, and one of the main reasons we have remained here — despite opportunities to move — has been the availability of immediate parking outside of our property which is essential for my mobility needs as a disabled badge holder. Our household is quite large, consisting of seven adults and six children who either live at or regularly attend the property. Between us we own six vehicles, and we already spend over £300 each year on parking permit vouchers. Losing nearby parking, even temporarily, will therefore have a very real impact on daily life, accessibility, and the practical functioning of our household. Being able to park close to my home is essential for my independence, day-to-day safety, and ability to attend medical appointments, shopping, and other necessary activities. Without nearby parking, even short distances can become very challenging. I’m not writing to oppose improvements, but rather to ensure that disabled residents already living on this street are fully considered. I would really appreciate some reassurance on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Whether the needs of existing disabled residents were assessed as part of the planning process - If any alternative parking arrangements or reasonable adjustments will be provided during the suspension - Who I might speak with directly to discuss my specific accessibility needs <p>I hope we can work together to ensure accessibility improvements benefit everyone without unintentionally disadvantaging those already managing mobility challenges locally. Thank you very much for your time and understanding, and I would appreciate any clarification you can provide. Kind regards, Blue Badge Holder & Resident Holmdale Terrace</p>	
Total	14	14	14