

# **MINUTES OF THE COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 22 OCTOBER 2025, 12:00PM – 1:57PM**

## **PRESENT:**

Councillor Ajda Ovat - Cabinet Member for Communities (Co-Chair)  
Marco Bardetti – Detective Chief Superintendent (Co-Chair)  
Elvan Asutay – Prevent Engagement Officer  
Sara Sutton – Corporate Director, Adults, Housing, Health  
Sandeep Broca – Intelligence Analysis Manager  
Abigail Wycherley – VAWG Programme Lead  
Eubert Malcolm – AD Stronger and Safer Communities  
Joe Benmore – IOM Lead  
Mark Wolski – Head of Community Safety  
Will Maimaris – Director of Public Health  
Eleanor Girling – Strategic Lead, Communities  
Adam Browne - ASB, CCTV & Enforcement Manager  
Bhavna Tejpal – London City Hall  
Demichah Toussaint – Bridge Renewal Trust  
Heather Hutchings – Strategic Lead, Community Safety Hate Crime  
Helidon Topulli – Head of Support & Wellbeing, Housing  
Matthew Knights – Head of Service, Youth at Risk  
Neehara Wijeyesekera – Assistant Director for Housing Management  
Nazyer Choudhury – Principal Committee Co-Ordinator

## **1. FILMING AT MEETINGS**

The Chair referred Members present to agenda Item 1 as shown on the agenda in respect of filming at this meeting, and Members noted the information contained therein.

## **2. APOLOGIES**

Apologies had been received from Jackie Difulco and Karen Brown.

## **3. URGENT BUSINESS**

There was no urgent business.

## **4. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest.

## 5. MINUTES

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting held on 25 June 2025 be agreed as an accurate record.

## 6. MEMBERSHIP

A representative from the Haringey Community Collaborative would be added to the membership.

## 7. ACTION TRACKER & TERMS OF REFERENCE

Mr Mark Wolski provided an update on the Community Safety Partnership Action Tracker.

### Terms of Reference:

It was resolved that in the absence of feedback by 29 October 2025, the Terms of Reference were agreed.

Membership: It was noted the efforts to secure attendance of probation, fire service and ICB. Apologies were noted for probation service and ICB. The chair of the SNB was noted as a welcome addition.

## 8. CRIME OVERVIEW AND PARTNERSHIP FUNDING

Mr Sandeep Broca presented the report.

The meeting heard as part of discussions

On violence:

- The knife bin in Wood Green had been used extensively, though some refuse had been deposited. Further updates were awaited on data in respect of recent deployments.
- Work was being undertaken in respect of a Home Office funded programme known as the accelerator programme.

On VAWG:

- A request was made for more granular detail on sexual offences. This would be added to the Action Tracker.
- Work of THFC and their night-time charter VAWG charter was noted by the meeting. A request was made for involvement of the Metropolitan Police. This would be added as an action point for the Action Tracker.

On Tottenham Hotspur Football Club (THFC) and events:

- There were issues relating to events at Tottenham Hotspur Stadium and Alexandra Palace and their impact on various crime levels. Bespoke policing plans supported by the partnership for varied events (such as music

concerts) were put in place for such events and summers concerts and were a good example of how the partners worked together and learned to adapt to specific circumstances.

- Work had also been done with businesses in the Tottenham area. The Tesco store was one of the highest drivers of shoplifting in locality. Recent police work had seen significant success in achieving high detection rates.

On Business Crime:

- There was an opportunity to improve communication amongst different outlets and the police were seeking to maximise the opportunity from its new engagement platform. There was ongoing work to increase its recognition around the businesses and the High Road.

On Clear Hold Build (CHB):

- It was reported that despite a year's work on CHB, residents were still not confident that things were progressing, though there had been significant progress since the implementation of two operational delivery groups. Visibility of Police presence was a factor and residents being able to feel comfort and reassurance that Police were making efforts to reduce crime in the area was important to residents.
- The PPSG was noted as a good platform for the borough to discuss antisocial behaviour. However, it was more reactive in terms of areas of concerns based on case work and more strategic work was required.
- The borough commander endorsed the focused approach described in respect of People, Premises and Place.
- A question arose about the opportunity to understand the role of the Wood Green Business Improvement District (BID) and ensure opportunities for partnership crime reduction were acted upon. As part of the Action Tracker, Wood Green BID would be invited to attend a future meeting.

RESOLVED:

That the report be noted.

## **9. PRIORITIES**

Mr Mark Wolski introduced the highlight reports on four priorities of ASB, Hate Crime, VAWG and Serious Violence.

Discussion arose on a variety of subjects. In relation to anti-social behaviour, the meeting heard:

- Progress was reported as moderate, with the development of PPSG, closure panels, case reviews and an emerging risk in respect of the discontinuance of the CMARAC.
- The development of an ASB Case review protocol was noted. In the absence of feedback by 29 October 2025, these would be agreed.

- Victims of anti-social behaviour required more support, and it was noted Victim Support North London was very good at both providing the standard victim support for victims of crime and antisocial behaviour. The organisation was quite proactive in terms of representations and advocacy for victims, including neighbour disputes, hate crime and harassment. The Council did not have a bespoke point of contact.
- Discussion around Clear Hold Build informed the board about the closure panel, extensive use of full and partial closure notices. There had been reported success in respect of closure notices of whole blocks such as the Rothbury Walk Estate achieving reductions in reported ASB. Further opportunities were being looked at in respect of wider geographic locations such as Olive Morris Court which was made up of individual ferry like containers with no defined boundary. This would be done as an internal corporate order to test proof of concept
- There were multiple demands and opportunities for identifying areas of concern that could mitigate demands made by local councillors for example, expressing concern about an area. This was often for a regular problem.
- Discussion around the PPSG noted the importance of being data and risk led. PPSG could not be a 'catch-all' for the whole borough. Greater focus was required in respect of problems as well as measuring activity and impact. An action point would be added to the action tracker for a review of PPSG.
- Keeping focus on high-harm, reoccurring, persistent antisocial behaviour, whether it was thematic, geographic or individual was important in attempting to tackle issues specifically to try and reduce antisocial behaviour rather than just managing cases across the borough. Clear, Hold, Build had looked at the five most problematic individuals in the footprint, the five most problematic residential addresses and the five most problematic businesses. In three months, the borough had taken a variety of action that included some robust action against individuals. This may well be a better strategic use of operational resources.
- Consideration would be made regarding approaches on universal prevention. There would also be a secondary prevention category of people who were vulnerable people at the cusp of offending and a tertiary stage where there was a high risk of causing harm.
- There were opportunities to address gaps in the system, especially around communication between various services and wider partnership working such as the ICB/NHS in respect of Mental Health.
- There was a need for focus work to be done on mental health (ICB/NHS). Health representation was important at the meeting and for any sub-groups. The need for this representation would be noted on Action Tracker.
- In relation to criminal behaviour orders, it was not something that the Partnership considered but probably should. It would be useful to explore such orders for those under the age of 18 and how they could be continually monitored across the Partnership and the Youth Justice Partnership Board.

- Following discussion and proposal for an ASB strategic delivery, group, the partnership concurred there was an opportunity or a need for an ASB strategic delivery group.

In relation to Hate Crime, the meeting heard:

- Police would not be investigating non-crime incidents. They would be recorded but not investigated. Islamophobic, faith hate crime and antisemitic crime was monitored.
- As an action point, the borough would seek reassurance on online challenges and on integrated responses to Hate Crime, Prevent and VAWG. This would also be embedded into future strategies and delivery plans. It was also important to have an up-to-date understanding of what the trends were and how it manifested itself.

In relation to VAWG

- Discussion around those involved in sex work linked to the CHB initiative arose, and the actions of improving the response to those involved in sex work was acknowledged. The board recognised the vulnerability of those involved in sex work, as well as some inherent tensions in managing vulnerability and reported complaints by the community
- A number of special providers had been commissioned Haringey. There was one provider that was directly a 'women only' service. The other services were inclusive of transgender women. This needed to be explicitly stated on the website. Transgender victim survivors were able to access services they needed. There was also an LGBTQ specialist service. In terms of recommissioning, the set specifications outlined that support was being sought for all women, including transgender women.
- There was a number of community groups and organisations that supported migrants in the borough. It would be useful to hold events with them. In addition, with work in the Resettlement team, various collaborative events could be held.

In relation to Serious Violence:

- For the action tracker, was the need to convene a working group in respect of reduction of re-offending and the opportunity to develop a more cogent partnership plan regarding serious violence
- The borough was in the process of setting up a ward panel chair network.

RESOLVED:

To note the contents of reports and presentations in respect of the four priorities.

## **10. HARINGEY YOUTH JUSTICE PLAN 2024 - 2027 - YEAR 1 REVIEW**

Mr Matthew Knights introduced the report.

In relation to Haringey Youth Justice Annual report, the meeting welcomed the report and heard:

- High harm crimes, such as the use of firearms were still frequent. Embedding the understanding into daily work and ensuring early prevention for schools and universities would be useful. Working with education to make strategic gains through schools for long term aspiration would help ensure reduction of violence.
- Community Safety colleagues were commended for supporting a number of different initiatives and working with the different partners across the system. Being able to deal with some of these issues had to be done in partnership with shared resources.
- It was notable that there were high rates of young people not in education, employment or training.

RESOLVED:

To note the report.

#### **11. STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITIES**

Mr Mark Wolski introduced the reports on Combating Drugs Partnership Objectives and Prevent.

RESOLVED:

To note the reports.

#### **12. CSP STRATEGY AND PLAN (2027 ONWARDS)**

Mr Mark Wolski provided a verbal update.

RESOLVED:

To note the update.

#### **13. ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

There were no items of urgent business.

#### **14. DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The meeting would be held in January or February 2026.

CHAIR:

Signed by Chair .....

Date .....

This page is intentionally left blank