

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

Councillors Kober (Chair), Arthur, Demirci, Goldberg, McNamara, Morton, Strickland and Waters

Apologies Councillor Vanier

Also Present: Councillor Elliott, Councillor Bull

<b>MINUTE NO.</b>	<b>SUBJECT/DECISION</b>	<b>ACTON BY</b>
<b>CAB788</b>	<b>APOLOGIES</b>  Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Bernice Vanier and apologies for lateness put forward for Cllr Stuart McNamara.	<b>Clerk</b>
<b>CAB789</b>	<b>URGENT BUSINESS</b>  None	
<b>CAB780</b>	<b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b>  There were no declarations of interest put forward.	
<b>CAB781</b>	<b>NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CONDUCT BUSINESS IN PRIVATE, ANY REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED AND THE RESPONSE TO ANY SUCH REPRESENTATIONS</b>  No representations were received.	
<b>CAB782</b>	<b>MINUTES</b>  The minutes of the Cabinet meeting held on the 17 <sup>th</sup> December 2014 were agreed as an accurate record of the meeting.	<b>Clerk</b>
<b>CAB783</b>	<b>DEPUTATIONS/PETITIONS/QUESTIONS</b>  <b>Deputation</b> A deputation was received from Stephen Brice on behalf of the Pinkham Way Alliance regarding future plans for the site linked to agenda item 11, Local Plan Making – review of Haringey’s Local Plan.  Mr Brice outlined the Alliance’s continued support for the Council’s statement about future decisions on Pinkham Way and the sustained application of proper planning principles.  It was updated that a further ecological study had been undertaken covering invertebrates and which reaffirmed existing evidence of the site’s ecological importance in animal, bird and plant biodiversity.	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

The Alliance had drafted a 5 year management plan for the site to ensure the maintenance of its value, with a positive response from local people volunteering to play a role in implementation.

The long term benefits for future generations of retaining the site undeveloped were emphasised, or at the least, ensuring any disturbance from development was minimised or restricted to the least environmentally valuable areas of the site.

The Alliance reasserted their view that utilisation of the site as a waste centre would be contrary to both the borough's planning policies and the London Plan and reiterated the importance of retaining a focus on proper planning principles in determining the future of the site.

Mr Brice raised concern over the frequency of occurrence of waste site fires across the UK and the subsequent risk this could pose to local residents and transport links should the site be approved for waste use.

Cllr Demirci responded to the deputation as the Cabinet Member for Planning and thanked Mr Brice for his deputation and for the continued work of the Alliance. He reaffirmed the Council's commitment to an open and transparent process for determining the future of the site and continued dialogue with interested parties. Confirmation was provided on the application of proper planning principles in order to explore a range of options for the site. An evidenced approach had been used to determine the proposed allocation for the site under the Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD), with regard having been given to all relevant planning policy guidance, the ownership and current management of the site, its ecological designation and representations from relevant parties. The proposed allocation would be released for public consultation subject to Cabinet approval under agenda item 11.

Mr Brice responded on behalf of the Alliance in welcoming the continuation of a constructive relationship with the Council and the balance position regarding the site contained within the Site Allocations DPD.

**Public Questions**

A series of questions were received from Rachel Allison on behalf of the Highgate Neighbourhood Forum relating to agenda item 11, Local Plan Making, in particular the consultation undertaken with the public regarding sites to be included within the Site Allocations DPD. The responses provided by the Assistant Director Planning at the direction of the Cabinet Member were given as follows:

- 1. The reason behind the inclusion of the Highgate Magistrates Court site within the Site Allocations DPD when the Forum were requested to remove this from their Neighbourhood Plan*
  - The site had been included within the draft policy due to delays in progression of the planning application for the site. Planning permission had subsequently been granted at Planning Committee on 19 January 2015. In light of this, the inclusion of the site within the policy would be reviewed and would likely be omitted from the next version of the plan going forward from the public consultation stage. Apologies were conveyed to the Forum for failing to keep them updated on changes to the timetable for the determination of the application for the site.
- 2. The reason for the inclusion of the Tile Kiln Lane site following concerns that officers had not undertaken a site visit or consulted the Forum*
  - Confirmation was provided that a site visit had been undertaken but not a

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

	<p>rigorous assessment of the important issues of water levels or the envelope of available land and as such, an amendment was proposed to the policy to omit this site and any related allocation. Officers acknowledged that although the site had been discussed with the Highgate Society, improvements were required going forward to the engagement process with the community and community groups.</p> <p>3. <i>Clarification was sought as to whether housing or employment was the priority for the Highgate Bowl application site in consideration that residential development was proposed in an area containing several existing small businesses.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confirmation was provided that a balanced, mixed use approach was sought for the site and that the results of the public consultation would inform where the land use emphasis fell. A specific development scheme was not in place for the site.</li> </ul> <p>4. <i>Assurances were sought that the Council would engage with the Highgate Forum in a constructive manner going forward, within the spirit of the Localism Act.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whilst it was acknowledged there were opportunities to improve communication and coordination between the Council and the Forum, the Leader affirmed the Council's commitment to constructive engagement. The Cabinet Member for Planning would take this point forward to look at where improvements could be made in working with community groups in a more collaborative way.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>CAB784</b></p>	<p><b>ANNUAL AUDIT LETTER 2013/14</b></p> <p>In introducing the report, the Cabinet Member for Resources and Culture reported that Grant Thornton, the Council's external auditors, had signed-off the Council's Statement of Accounts 2013/14 within the statutory deadlines and without qualification.</p> <p>Furthermore, the auditors had issued an unqualified Value for Money (VFM) conclusion confirming that the Council has continued to ensure proper arrangements are in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of our resources.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <p>To receive the Annual Audit Letter for 2013/14 and note its contents.</p> <p><b>Alternative Options considered</b></p> <p>The nature of this report and recommendation is such that alternative options are not applicable.</p> <p><b>Reasons for Decision</b></p> <p>To receive the annual audit letter in accordance with statutory requirements.</p>	
<p><b>CAB785</b></p>	<p><b>THE COUNCIL'S PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT - QUARTER TWO , 2014/15</b></p> <p>Cabinet considered a report, introduced by the Leader which informed of progress against the Council's outcomes and strategic priorities during the second quarter of 2014/15.</p> <p>Cllr Elliott, referred to performance indicator HY110, number of people killed and seriously injured in road accidents and expressed concern about the higher number of incidents reported in the last two quarters of 2014 compared to earlier months in the year. The Leader agreed that one death was too many, no matter what the target for</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

	<p>this area. In the absence of the Cabinet Member for Environment, the Leader explained the range of activities and intervention taking place to limit road accidents including a focused approach to road safety in areas of high deprivation, targeting of accident hotspots and ensuring routes to schools were safe and slower speed levels implemented in the appropriate areas.</p> <p>The Leader proposed a short review of incidents is undertaken to understand what additional measures could have been put in place to prevent the accidents occurring and what actions can be taken further forward, above and beyond existing measures by the road safety teams. Agreed that Cllr Elliott is provided with a written update on the findings and actions of this review.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <p>To note the progress against the Council’s Corporate Plan priorities in Q2 2014/15.</p> <p><b>Alternative options considered</b> Not applicable as an information report.</p> <p><b>Reasons for Decision</b> Not applicable</p>	<p><b>Chief Operating Officer</b></p>
<p><b>CAB786</b></p>	<p><b>BUDGET MONITORING REPORT 2014/15 - DECEMBER 2014</b></p> <p>Cabinet considered a report, introduced by the Cabinet Member for Resources and Culture which set out the forecast financial revenue and capital outturns for 2014/15 based on actual performance to 31 December 2014 and that also sought approval of proposed management actions and budget adjustments (virements).</p> <p>In introducing the report, Councillor Arthur highlighted: a £400k underspend in the General Fund, the overall balanced position of the HRA, the current capital underspend and overspend in the Children’s and Adults budget due to demographic pressures. The Cabinet Member had asked officer to remain vigilant on spend in the Children’s and Adults service areas to ensure a balanced budget at the end of the financial year.</p> <p>In response to Cllr Elliott’s question relating to the budget pressures on the New Build Programme indicated at paragraph 6.14; this was attributed to significantly increased construction costs. A Quality Surveyor was in the process of being enlisted to review the process.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That the progress being made against the Council’s 2014/15 budget in respect of net revenue and capital expenditure be noted.</li> <li>ii. That the budget changes (virements be approved)</li> <li>iii. That the transfers to/ from reserves approved by the Chief Financial Officer, as set out in Appendix 3 be noted.</li> </ol> <p><b>Alternative options considered</b></p> <p>This report proposes that the Cabinet should consider the overall financial position for 2014/15 in line with existing procedures.</p> <p>A risk based approach to budget monitoring has been developed in order to manage</p>	<p><b>AD Finance</b></p>

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

	<p>the Council’s finances in a time of economic and financial uncertainty.</p> <p>Cabinet could choose to adopt a less rigorous regime and examine the financial position at a later stage. Projections could be marginally more accurate if a delayed approach was adopted, but there would be less time for robust development and consideration of management action and virements.</p> <p><b>Reasons for decision</b></p> <p>Members’ involvement in financial monitoring is an essential part of delivering the Council’s priorities.</p> <p>The Constitution requires Members to approve certain financial transactions such as virements according to approved limits.</p>	
<p><b>CAB787</b></p>	<p><b>SITE ACQUISITIONS FUND - APPROVAL FOR DECISIONS UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY</b></p> <p>Cabinet considered a report introduced by the Cabinet Member for Housing and Regeneration which would provide the Director of Planning, Regeneration and Development extended delegated authority, in certain defined circumstances, to acquire land or properties to facilitate delivery of the Council’s regeneration programmes.</p> <p>Cllr Strickland further explained that as the regeneration areas in the borough become attractive, it will be necessary for the Council to be a position to compete with potential property and land acquirers and be able to act swiftly in the market place to purchase land or properties for regeneration purposes. The purchases will be taken with due diligence and will be subject to consultation with the Cabinet Member for Housing and Regeneration and the Assistant Director for Finance.</p> <p>Cllr Elliott sought assurance about the quality of the governance process around the decision making of these future delegated decisions given the extended delegated authority being agreed for the Director of Planning, Regeneration, and Development It was noted that there will be no fettering of the procedure required for decision making .The AD for Governance clarified that ,before any decision is taken, a full report will need to be compiled, in the same way as for Committee meetings, allowing legal, finance and procurement input . The decisions will also be recorded and published online.</p> <p>In agreeing the recommendations, the Cabinet noted that the extended delegated authority was limited to the lifespan of the Corporate Plan.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <p>I. That the Director of Regeneration, Planning and Development after consultation with the Cabinet Member for Housing and Regeneration and the Chief Financial Officer be granted delegated authority to purchase land and properties within the Borough subject to the following criteria being satisfied:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The land or property acquired must be for a purpose which is aimed at facilitating the delivery of projects within the approved Tottenham Strategic Regeneration Framework and Delivery Plan and be in accordance with the Council’s statutory powers to acquire such property and geographically located in the 8 designated Tottenham wards, Northumberland Park, White Hart Lane, Bruce Grove, Tottenham Green, Tottenham Hale, Seven Sisters, West Green and St Ann’s; or.</li> </ul>	<p>Dir Regeneration Planning and Development</p>

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The land or property acquired must be demonstrably consistent with the Council’s regeneration objectives for the Wood Green Investment Framework area, within the area defined in map shown at Appendix 1, meet at least one of the criteria set out in 5.6 and be in accordance with the Council’s statutory powers to acquire such property; and,</li> <li>• The maximum value of any one purchase shall not exceed the sum of £2.5m (including all applicable fees and associated costs).</li> <li>• The delegation to the Director of Regeneration Planning and Development is limited to the lifespan of the Corporate Plan for 2015/18; namely until 31 March 2018 and will be reviewed at the end of that period.</li> </ul> <p><b>Alternative options considered</b> Reliance upon the urgency procedures under Part Three Section E paragraph 5.01 and Part Four Section D paragraphs 16 and 17 of the Council’s Constitution alone to authorise site purchases at short notice using this fund could prohibit the Council’s ability to react to the short timescales for site acquisition. As the report sets out, the proposal to agree for Officers to make decisions under Delegated Powers (in defined circumstances) would allow for the most efficient use of the agreed funding.</p> <p><b>Reasons for decision</b> This report will allow properties to be purchased in support of the Tottenham and Wood Green Regeneration schemes in a more timely and effective way than is currently possible and in doing so enable the objectives of the Tottenham Strategic Regeneration Framework and Wood Green Investment Framework to be met. In doing so the Council’s and Communities aspirations for the areas can be achieved with the delivery of Regeneration schemes which maximise the number of jobs, homes and benefits for local people.</p>	
<p><b>CAB788</b></p>	<p><b>LOCAL PLAN MAKING - REVIEW OF HARINGEY’S LOCAL PLAN: STRATEGIC POLICIES, "PREFERRED OPTIONS" FOR TOTTENHAM AREA ACTION PLAN, "PREFERRED OPTIONS" FOR SITE ALLOCATIONS DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT, AND "PREFERRED OPTIONS" FOR DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT, AND THE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT SCHEME</b></p> <p>The Cabinet considered a report, introduced by the Cabinet Member for Planning, which sought approval to consult upon four development plan documents (DPDs): proposed alterations to Haringey’s Local Plan Strategic Policies (LPSP) which was adopted in 2013 and the “preferred option” drafts of the Development Management Development Plan Document (DM DPD), the Site Allocations Development Plan Document (Sites DPD) and the Tottenham Area Action Plan Development Plan Document (Tottenham AAP). Members were asked to note the Draft Interim Sustainability Appraisal Reports used as a technical evidence base to inform decision making in the preparation of the above documents. The approval of Cabinet was also sought to adopt the revised and updated Local Development Scheme (LDS) for 2015-2018.</p> <p>The attention of Cabinet was drawn to a tabled addendum setting out the comments and resolutions of the Regulatory Committee which considered the proposed suite of development plan documents at their meeting on 15th January 2015. The Committee had resolved to recommend that Cabinet approve the documents listed above for public consultation subject to the noting of two alternative amendments relating to</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

affordable housing targets:

1. The first amendment proposed to retain the current 50% borough wide affordable housing target for larger development sites against the proposed reduction to 40%, reflecting the concern of the Committee that this change would reduce the quantum of affordable homes built in the borough. The addendum to the report outlined the implications of the Committee's proposed amendment, including the evidence base in place to support the officer recommended reduction to a 40% target. There was also a strong likelihood that the plan would be rendered 'unsound' on the grounds of deliverability should the 50% target remain on the application of tests applied under the National Planning Policy Framework 2012 against which local plans were assessed. The recommendation therefore put before Cabinet was to retain the officer proposed amendment to a 40% target to permit the change to the current policy position to be subject to public consultation.
2. The second amendment proposed by Regulatory Committee was to reject the proposed amendment to Housing Policy SP2 (7) withdrawing the requirement for schemes below the 10 unit threshold to provide 20% affordable housing on site or financial contributions towards affordable housing provision. The addendum to the report outlined the implications of the Committee's proposed amendment, including it being at odds with the recent planning policy statement made by the Secretary of State with the consequence of rendering the plan non-conforming and thereby unsound. The recommendation therefore put before Cabinet was to retain Policy SP2(7), and agrees its inclusion in the schedule unaltered thereby allowing the proposed change to be subject to public consultation and allow the matter to be examined on appeal ahead of Regulation 19 stage, whilst not explicitly proposing the deletion of the policy at the current time.

The addendum report also sought Cabinet approval for an additional recommendation to omit proposed site allocation SA49: Tile Kiln Lane Reservoir from consultation on the site allocations DPD following officer review of the site.

The Leader sought clarification from the Monitoring Officer on any potential legal ramifications of the Cabinet running counter to the two additional recommendations made by the Regulatory Committee. The Monitoring Officer and his representative identified that the Committee were designated as consultees with regards to the plans and reiterated the evidence provided within the addendum on the grounds set out for the officer recommendations. The significant risks were outlined of the plans not meeting the four tests of soundness under the National Planning Policy Framework should the Regulatory Committee amendments be supported by Cabinet. The result would be that the Council would be rendered unable to take forward a rigorous policy within which to deliver the borough's new housing, affordable housing and employment targets.

In response to a question regarding the rationale behind the setting of the current 50% target for borough wide affordable housing for larger development sites given the deliverability evidence, officers confirmed that the existing policy position was justifiable and met the required standards at the time of adoption. The subsequent removal of the government's affordable housing grant had been a key factor impacting on deliverability against this target and thereby the proposed reduction. The Leader identified that concerns had been raised by the Haringey Association of Warehouse Communities regarding the allocation of commercial space and employment land in the borough against that for residential development. She confirmed an undertaking that the Council would work, via the consultation process,



**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

**Alternative options considered**

**Alterations to Haringey's Local Plan: Strategic Policies, Development Management DPD, Sites DPD and Tottenham AAP**

The Council could choose not to review the LPSP. In light of emerging regional policy and new evidence, the proposed alterations are necessary to ensure the plan appropriately reflects the revised growth figures and evidence. The National Planning Policy Framework and evidence underpinning the further alterations to the London Plan and the Local plan also demonstrate a need to revisit the housing assumptions in this document, if the DPD's are to be progressed further. Therefore not undertaking the alterations would undermine delivery of the statutory "Development Plan" documents and compromise the Council ability to make high quality local planning decisions. Accordingly, not reviewing the document is not recommended as a viable alternative.

The DM DPD, Sites DPD and Tottenham AAP, will significantly improve the Council's ability to manage and promote high quality sustainable development (and resist unsustainable development) across the borough, so not producing these documents is not considered to be an appropriate or viable alternative.

For the four development plan documents this stage of consultation represents a statutory requirement for preparing Haringey's Local Plan. There is no alternative to consulting in line with the Regulations. The Development Plan Documents have been developed in accordance with planning regulations and the Council is required to consult on them prior to the formal submission stages.

**Local Development Scheme**

The LDS is important in communicating the Council's programme for preparing the statutory planning framework. It is a requirement that the LDS is kept up-to-date to provide certainty for investors and communities seeking to engage in the process, and as a means to ensure that the Council can be held accountable for the delivery of the planning framework.

Modest changes to the development plan programme could be signposted through the Annual Monitoring Report (AMR). However given that the proposed changes to the LDS are material, this route is not considered appropriate.

Alternatively, the Council could choose not to revise its LDS. The changes set out in the appended documents outline changes to the timing, format and delivery of most of the planned DPDs such that the current LDS would provide very little assistance to anyone seeking to engage with the Council on the emerging planning policy framework.

**Reasons for Decision**

**The Alterations to Haringey's Local Plan: Strategic Policies, the DM DPD, Sites DPD, the Tottenham AAP**

The DPDs are an essential component of Haringey's Local Plan. Without these documents it will be challenging for the Council to deliver the borough wide aspirations to manage change and growth for the benefit of existing and future residents and businesses. It would also become increasingly challenging to determine and influence development proposals which fail to deliver sustainable development outcomes in Haringey. The DPDs will be more up to date and consistent with the London Plan and the National Planning Policy Framework than the current version of the Local Plan: Strategic Policies and Haringey's Saved UDP policies.

Public consultation is an important statutory requirement in the preparation of the Local Plan and the documents cannot progress to submission stage of the process (submitting to the Secretary of State for public examination) without carrying out this round of consultation. It is important the Council consults on these documents at the earliest opportunity to avoid delaying the adoption of the DPDs.

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

<p><b>CAB789</b></p>	<p><b>HARINGEY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND GROWTH STRATEGY</b></p> <p>Cabinet considered a report, introduced by the Cabinet Member for Economic Development, Social Inclusion and Sustainability which sought approval to the Economic Development and Growth Strategy.</p> <p>In introducing the report, Councillor Goldberg, expressed the council’s seriousness about leading on economic development and growth in the borough and using the platform of regeneration for change. This included addressing the skills shortage, ensuring young people have the skills to compete in the future jobs market, creating more jobs in the borough but also ensuring skills for jobs outside of the borough are obtained , taking forward a innovation economy with businesses attracted to the borough. This strategy was the first of its kind, produced by a London borough, and set out the council’s strong intent for economic development and growth.</p> <p>In response to a question from Cllr Arthur about encouraging creative industries in the borough, Cllr Goldberg replied that it was important to lead the economy and provide the support for businesses to locate to the borough where there were affordable spaces and he provided an example of a creative business recently making use of warehouse space in South Tottenham.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <p>That the Economic Development and Growth Strategy be approved.</p> <p><b>Alternative options considered</b></p> <p>The option not to develop an Economic Development and Growth Strategy was considered. This was rejected because it would mean the Economic Development team continuing to work in an ad hoc way – responding to funding opportunities and making reactive interventions rather than shaping the agenda for the borough.</p> <p>The option to pursue a more cautious approach with less emphasis on securing new investment in the borough was also considered and rejected. This was because without a proactive approach to attracting new businesses the centralising trends which have seen Haringey’s employment ratio decline would be likely to continue.</p> <p>The option of pursuing a more generalised ‘Haringey open for business’ strategy was considered and rejected because of the logistical and resource implications of attempting to market to businesses across all sectors, and because of the risk that such a diffuse message would be lost in the London economy.</p> <p><b>Reasons for Decision</b></p> <p>The council has identified economic growth and employment as a priority in its draft corporate plan for 2015-18. This strategy sets out the proposals for delivering against key elements of that plan, and if adopted its implementation will have a significant impact upon all wards in the borough.</p>	<p>Dir Regeneration, Planning and Development</p>
<p><b>CAB790</b></p>	<p><b>AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR CUSTOMER PLATFORM</b></p> <p>Cabinet considered a report introduced by the Cabinet Member for Resources and Culture which sought approval from the Cabinet to award a contract for the provision of a Customer Platform in order to support delivery of the Customer Services Transformation Programme.</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

	<p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <p>i. That, in principle, the contract for a Customer Platform be awarded to Agilisys Limited in accordance with Contract Standing Order (CSO) 9.06.1(d) , subject to confirmation of funding as part of agreeing the overall budget package (at the Cabinet meeting in February 2015).</p> <p>ii. That the contract is awarded for a period of 3 years with an option to extend for a further two periods of one year each.</p> <p>iii. That the total cost for the initial 3 year contract period is £1.735m with the additional year extensions priced at £424k per year.</p> <p><b>Alternative options considered</b></p> <p>An outline business case for the CST programme was developed in October 2013 and this was reviewed at Cabinet in November 2013 (v1.0). This set out four options for delivering a new operating model for Customer Services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Option 1: Do nothing.</li> <li>• Option 2: Customer Service consolidation with stand-alone web based initiatives delivered on a department by department basis.</li> <li>• Option 3A: Transformation of Customer Services via corporate wide process and technology change (supported by a CRM type solution).</li> <li>• Option 3B: Transformation of Customer Services via corporate wide process and technology change (supported by a Digital Platform type solution).</li> </ul> <p>Following a further review at Cabinet in November 2013 it was recommended that both Options 3A and 3B were taken forward and subjected to a more detailed appraisal, and both the CST Programme Board and Senior Leadership Team agreed that the programme should progress accordingly to the next stage.</p> <p>An updated full Business Case is due to be completed by April 2015.</p> <p><b>Reasons for Decision</b></p> <p>The recommendation as outlined in paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 are based on an evaluation of the tender received and the view that this offers value to the Council in terms of quality and price.</p> <p>As a result of the procurement exercise, which was carried out in accordance with the Procurement Code of Practice, it is now recommended that the successful tenderer be awarded the contract as outlined in paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 in accordance with CSO 9.06.1(d).</p>	<p>Chief Operating Officer</p>
<p><b>CAB791</b></p>	<p><b>APPROVAL FOR COMPULSORY PURCHASE ACTION - EMPTY PROPERTIES</b></p> <p>Cabinet considered a report, introduced by the Cabinet Member for Housing and Regeneration which set out the proposals to make Compulsory Purchase orders</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

in relation to 7 vacant properties in the borough. The report described the condition of the properties and actions previously taken by the council to bring the properties back into use.

The Cabinet Member for Housing and Regeneration emphasised the undeniable need for housing in the borough and the need to take action to ensure empty properties were put back into use. In relation to the attached 7 properties, the council would have corresponded with the owners consistently and offered advice on grant aid application to bring the house back into use. The use of CPO powers would help induce the home owners to come forward to make decisions about the use of their property.

Cllr McNamara welcomed the policy coming forward.

In response to Cllr Bull's question, the Cabinet Member for Housing and Regeneration would notify the relevant ward councillors of the proposed CPO actions.

**RESOLVED**

i. That the compulsory acquisition of and the submission of the 7 properties listed in Paragraph 5.5 to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government for a confirmed Order, under Compulsory Purchase powers be authorised;

ii. That the Assistant Director Corporate Governances be authorised to:

- (a) Make and seal the Orders for submission to the Secretary of State for consideration and approval (including the service of any requisition notices necessary to establish interests in each of the properties) and to carry out the statutory notification required;
- (b) Confirm the Compulsory Purchase Order in the event of the Secretary of State returning the Order authorising the Council to do so;
- (c) Prepare for, and represent the Council at, any public inquiry held following submission of the Order to the Secretary of State;
- (d) Upon confirmation of the Compulsory Purchase Order proceed with acquisition of each of the properties;
- (e) In the event that any of the owner(s) undertakes in the form of a legally enforceable cross undertaking to bring the relevant property back into residential occupation and use within a reasonable timescale, to authorise the Head of Legal Services in consultation with the Interim Chief Operating Officer to enter in to and enforce such an undertaking instead of proceeding with the CPO for the property in question; and
- (f) Act in relation to any other procedural matters that may arise in the normal course of the CPO process.

**Chief  
Operating  
Officer**

**AD  
Governance**

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

	<p>iii. That (subject to the confirmation of the CPO by the Secretary of State) the disposal of each of the property to a Registered Provider where possible, or to an individual or private developer, with covenants to bring the relevant property back into use as soon as practicable be approved.</p> <p>iv. That the costs of the CPO to be met from the capital programme; and the recycling of the receipt from the disposal back to the capital programme budget for the continued private sector housing CPO programme be approved.</p> <p><b>Alternative options considered</b></p> <p>All options have been tried and exhausted. Letters have been sent to the owners of the empty properties offering advice and grant aid.</p> <p>Some of these properties have a debt outstanding to the Council which is being pursued under the Enforced Sale process. If the owner fails to pay, an Enforced Sale will be pursued and the property sold at auction. If they do pay but do not renovate the home and bring it back into use, the Council will proceed with CPO.</p> <p><b>Reasons for decision</b></p> <p>Every effort has been made to persuade the owners of the 7 properties in question to bring their properties back into use themselves including offering financial assistance in the form of a grant. CPO is very much a last resort and only used where the Council believe that there is no other way to ensure these properties are renovated and come back into use</p>	
<p><b>CAB792</b></p>	<p><b>WAIVER &amp; AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR THE HEALTHY ALLIANCE PROGRAMME FOR SEXUAL HEALTH SERVICES IN HARINGEY 2015-17</b></p> <p>Cabinet considered a report, introduced by the Cabinet Member for Health and Wellbeing that set out the reasons for the waiver and award of contract for Sexual Health service in Haringey for the following two years.</p> <p>The award of the contract complies with the boroughs prevention agenda and allows for further expanded targeted sexual health promotion, HIV and STI testing, and contraception activities.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <p>i. That the requirement to tender in respect of the Healthy Alliance HIV Prevention programme for Sexual Health Services in Haringey in accordance with Contract Standing Orders (CSO) 10.01.2 (d) (that it is in the Council's overall interest) be waived.</p> <p>ii. That the contract be awarded to Embrace UK for a period of one year with an option to extend for a further 1 year.</p> <p><b>Alternative options considered</b></p> <p>The key findings of Haringey Council's system wide review of sexual health services in 2013-14, recommended that sexual health service provision and access be improved</p>	<p><b>Dir Public Health</b></p> <p><b>Dir Public Health</b></p>

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

	<p>in the community. Subsequent to this, the Council undertook a robust and rigorous tender exercise in the summer of 2014, in order to explore the potential options to strengthen targeted sexual health service provision in defined target groups in the community.</p> <p>However, after careful consideration, it became clear that the responses received were ‘beyond the scope’ (activity and cost) of the Council’s original intentions for future community based services, bearing in mind the current strategic proposals to reshape community based sexual health services across London. Director approval has been given to discontinue forthwith the tender process for Lot 2 without awarding a contract. In view of this, and the ongoing development work with the current provider, Embrace UK, the Council considers it to be more cost effective to continue to build capacity in the current voluntary sector provider which would help them to progress from a purely HIV information, advice and guidance service for Africans to one which provides community based testing, advice and support for all at risk groups which is inclusive of all sexually-transmitted infections (STI).</p> <p>Embrace UK started piloting testing during HIV Testing Week in November 2014 and at its first faith based event, 11 people were tested for HIV. In tandem with this, a training programme has been put in place, (in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quarters of 2014-15) which will ‘skill up’ and enable Embrace UK to deliver STI and HIV testing across the community.</p> <p>Details of the comparative prices received from the tender process are set out below, together with the estimated savings which should accrue from the award of contract to Embrace UK.</p> <p><b>Reasons for Decision</b></p> <p>The recommendations as outlined in 3.1 and 3.2 are in accordance with Contract Standing Orders (CSO) 10.01.2 (d) being the best interest of the Council, to award a contract to Embrace UK for a period of one year with an option to extend for a further 1 year.</p>	
<p><b>CAB793</b></p>	<p><b>WAIVER AND AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR GUM( GENITO-URINARY MEDICINE)SERVICES FOR 2014/15 AND APPROVAL TO ENTER INTO FUTURE COLLABORATIVE ARRANGEMENTS FOR CONTRACTED GUM SERVICES IN 2014 TO 2017</b></p> <p>The Cabinet considered a report, introduced by the Cabinet Member for Health and Wellbeing which set out how the borough will be working with 20 boroughs in commissioning collaborative arrangements in order to manage more effectively demand led sexual health treatment services with the intention of achieving increased value for money for Haringey residents.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That the benefits that have been derived over the financial year 2013/14 to 2014/15 , set out in paragraph 7.4 in the attached report, from collaborating with other local authorities towards effectively commissioning open access GUM sexual health services and managing the Council’s associated costs (see paragraph 6 of the attached report) be noted.</li> <li>ii. That subject to paragraph 3.4 of the attached report , the entry into formal collaboration agreement with 11 other local authorities(identified in paragraph 6.2 of the attached report and associated footnote for</li> </ul>	<p><b>Dir Public Health</b></p>

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

<p>commissioning GUM sexual health services in 2014-15 be approved.</p> <p>iii. That the waiver, in accordance with CSO 10.01.2(d) (that it is in the Council’s overall interest), of the requirement under CSO 9.01 for tendering in respect of the 4 contracts identified in paragraph 3.2(b) be approved; and</p> <p>iv. That in accordance with CSO 9.01.6(d), the following 4 contracts for GUM sexual health services provided to Haringey residents in the period April 2014 to 31 March 2015 be awarded to the 3 NHS Trusts as indicated below:</p>			<p>Dir Public Health</p> <p>Dir Public Health</p>
Contract	NHS Trusts	Contract values	
(1)	Barnet, Enfield & Haringey Mental Health NHS Trust	£82,000	
(2)	Central & North West London NHS Foundation Trust	£654,000	
(3)	Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust (Barnet & Chase Farm Hospitals)	£33,000	
(4)	Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust ( Royal Free Hospital)	£156,00	
<p>v. That the Council’s continuing participation in the joint negotiation with an extended group of 19 other London boroughs [see paragraph 6.2 of this report and associated footnote] towards the award of GUM contracts for 2015-16 and 2016-17 and subject to paragraph 3.4 of the attached report, the entry into a formal collaboration agreement for this purpose to be achieved by March 2015 be approved.</p> <p>vi. That delegated authority is provided to the Director of Public Health, acting in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Health and Wellbeing, to finalise the terms of the formal collaboration agreements to be entered into in accordance with paragraphs 3.1(b) and 3.3 of the attached report.</p> <p>vii. That waiver of the requirement to tender in respect of the commissioning, together with 19 other London Boroughs, of GUM services for 2015-17, (paragraph 2 of the exempt information report provides further details - be approved.</p> <p>viii. That the main findings of the ‘case for change’ (set out in section 5 of the attached report) developed by the Pan London Sexual Health Transformation Project and the next steps as the project seeks to develop a collaborative procurement plan across London for GUM services in 2017-18 be endorsed.</p> <p><b>Alternative options considered</b></p>			<p>Dir Public Health</p> <p>Dir Public Health</p> <p>Dir Public Health</p> <p>Dir Public Health</p>

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

	<p>The complexity of the current system of NHS provided GUM sexual health services, combined with the increased complexity of the commissioning environment means that Councils can be more effective when they work together. Haringey Public Health’s experiences over the last two years have shown that it has insufficient leverage to either work independently or in smaller groups of commissioners (as was the case with the NHS North Central London GUM sexual health collaborative in 2013-14) when faced with negotiating costs and activity levels from the range of NHS ‘open access’ GUM services available to Haringey residents across London.</p> <p><b>Reasons for decision</b></p> <p>The recommendations as outlined in 3.2(a) and (b) are in accordance with Contract Standing Orders (CSO) 10.01.2 (d) (that it is in the Council’s overall interest), of the requirement under CSO 9.01 for tendering in respect of the 4 contracts identified (in paragraph 3.2(b)); and CSO 9.01.6(d), for the award of the 4 contracts (in 3.2(b)) for GUM sexual health services provided to Haringey residents in 2014-15 to the 3 NHS Trusts indicated above.</p> <p>Haringey Council is a key member of the pan London Sexual Health Transformation project and of the GUM commissioning collaborative, (currently being extended to 20 boroughs), which will enable the Council’s participation in future procurements, where appropriate, and in the negotiations towards the award of GUM sexual health services contracts for 2015-16 and 2016-17.</p>	
<p><b>CAB794</b></p>	<p><b>AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR STOP SMOKING SERVICES IN THE COMMUNITY IN HARINGEY 2015-16</b></p> <p>The Cabinet considered a report, introduced by the Cabinet Member for Health and Wellbeing, which detailed the outcome of an open tender process for the award of a contract to provide Stop Smoking Services in the Community for adults. The report further recommends an award of a new contract in line with the contract Standing order 9.06 (d) following a robust tendering process.</p> <p>In introducing the report Cllr Morton spoke of the importance of the council’s public health role in supporting initiatives to improve Health and Wellbeing of local residents and explained that the contract will target groups in the community where smoking prevalence is particularly high.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That the contract for stop smoking services be awarded to Solutions 4 Health in accordance with Contract Standing Order(CSO)9.6.1(d).</li> <li>ii. That the contract is awarded for a period of one year with an option to extend for a further period of up to two years, should that be necessary.</li> </ul> <p><b>Alternative options</b></p> <p>The Option to not re-tender this service was considered. However, given that smoking remains the main cause of preventable illness and early death , and is the primary reason for the life expectancy gap in Haringey , it was considered important that a new approach and service model be adopted. Having undertaken a rapid review of the evidence, it was decided to opt for the model of ‘targeting key groups of smokers in the community’ and to offer innovative harm reduction approaches in helping people</p>	<p><b>Dir Public Health</b></p> <p><b>Dir Public Health</b></p>

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

	<p>give up.</p> <p>This contract forms one of three newly commissioned stop smoking services in Haringey. While this contract will focus on the delivery of services for key groups in the community, the other two contracts will help people to give up smoking in GP practices and local Pharmacies. By adopting this 'new' approach to stop smoking services, the council aims to commission services where they are most needed in the community, and in a range of defined settings.</p> <p><b>Reasons for Decision</b></p> <p>The recommendations as outlined in 3.1 and 3.2 are based on those providers who scored the highest MEAT scores and therefore would offer the best value to council in terms of quality and price.</p> <p>As a result of the procurement exercise, which was carried out in accordance with the Procurement code of Practice, it is now recommended that the successful tenderer being awarded a contract as outlined in 3.1-3.2 in accordance with CSO 9.06.1(d)</p>	
<p><b>CAB795</b></p>	<p><b>MINUTES OF OTHER BODIES</b></p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <p>That the following minutes be noted:</p> <p>Cabinet Member Signing 11<sup>th</sup> December 2014          Leader Decision 16<sup>th</sup> December 2014          Cabinet Member signing 16<sup>th</sup> December 2014          Cabinet Member signing 19<sup>th</sup> December 2014          Cabinet Member Signing 5<sup>th</sup> January 2015          Leader Decision 9<sup>th</sup> January 2015</p>	
<p><b>CAB796</b></p>	<p><b>SIGNIFICANT AND DELEGATED ACTIONS</b></p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <p>That the delegated and significant actions taken during December by officers be noted.</p>	
<p><b>CAB797</b></p>	<p><b>NEW ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS</b></p> <p>None</p>	
<p><b>CAB798</b></p>	<p><b>EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC</b></p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <p>That the press and public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting as the items below contain exempt information, as defined under paragraph 3 , Part 1, schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.</p>	
<p><b>CAB799</b></p>	<p><b>AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR CUSTOMER PLATFORM</b></p>	

**MINUTES OF THE CABINET  
TUESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2015**

	As per item 13.	
<b>CAB800</b>	<b>WAIVER AND AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR GUM SERVICES FOR 2014/15 AND APPROVAL TO ENTER INTO FUTURE COLLABORATIVE ARRANGEMENTS FOR CONTRACTED GUM SERVICES IN 2014 TO 2017</b>  As per item 16.	
<b>CAB801</b>	<b>AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR STOP SMOKING SERVICES IN THE COMMUNITY IN HARINGEY 2015-16</b>  As per item 17.	
<b>CAB802</b>	<b>NEW ITEMS OF EXEMPT URGENT BUSINESS</b>  None	

Finish 8.00pm  
Councillor Claire Kober  
Chair